

Nomor : 306/SULE-IC/2018

Palembang, 22 Nopember 2018

Hal : **Hasil Peer Review**

Yth. Pemakalah 3rd SULE-IC 2018

Dengan hormat,

Berikut kami sampaikan hasil peer review (dua orang reviewer) paper saudara. Selanjutnya agar sudara memperbaiki artikel saudara sesuai:

- 1). Sesuai dengan komentar reviewer
- 2). Sesuai dengan format IOP (sebagian besar sudah diperbaiki editor).

Artikel yang telah diperbaiki segera dikirimkan ke suleic@fkip.unsri.ac.id selambat-lambatnya telah diterima oleh panitia pada tanggal 25 Nopember 2018 pukul 24.00 WIB. Pemakalah tidak mengirimkan revisi paper setelah tersebut dianggap **mengundurkan diri** dari proses publikasi IOP.

Demikianlah pemberitahuan ini, atas perhatiannya kami ucapkan terimakasih.

Ketua Pelaksana,



3rd SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY
2018
SULE-IC

Dr. Darmawijoyo, M.Si., M.Sc.

Our Ref. : 306/SULE-IC/2018
Subject : **Peer Review Results**

Palembang, 22 November 2018

Dear Author(s)

Attached to this letter are the results of peer review of your paper from two reviewers.

We expect to receive the revision of the paper based on the peer reviews by 25 November 2018 at 24:00 Western Indonesian Time to our email suleic@fkip.unsri.ac.id. Failure to send it before the time limit will be considered as a **withdrawal** from the publication process. The revised paper must adhere to the IOP format (the editors have done most of it).

We look forward to hearing from you.

Chair,


3rd  2018
SULE-IC

Dr. Darmawijoyo, M.Si., M.Sc.

Review Form

Please review the attached article. Review should be based on your expert analysis, good information on the subject of the article and the relevant literature. Please review to point out any deficiencies in the text and, if necessary, provide instruction on the amendments or modifications to the text.

General data on paper

Article Number: 5

Reviewer Name: REVIEW_1

Date: 17 November 2018

Evaluation Criteria

[1] Articles's content rating

Rounding numbers 1 to 5 evaluate each of these evaluation criteria of the articles content where 5 means complete suitability for presentation while 1 absolute grounds for refusal of the article. Write the number at the end of each row

	Article content assessment criteria	evaluation					Row End
1	The paper is written under „Instructions for Authors“.	1	2	3	4	5	5
2	Paper title reflects the content and purpose of the research.	1	2	3	4	5	5
3	Abstract includes information important for understanding the content of the paper.	1	2	3	4	5	5
4	The introduction clearly defines the purpose and objective of the work/research.	1	2	3	4	5	4
5	Worked out a review of previous research in the treated area.	1	2	3	4	5	4
6	The methodology is clearly defined.	1	2	3	4	5	4
7	Showing results support the applied methodology and conclusions.	1	2	3	4	5	3
8	The conclusion is based and contributes to the discharge of treated problems.	1	2	3	4	5	3
9	Article is a contribution by the theory / practice.	1	2	3	4	5	4

[2] Articles's organization rating

Rounding numbers 1 to 5 evaluate each of these evaluation criteria of the articles content where 5 means complete suitability for presentation while 1 absolute grounds for refusal of the article. Write the number at the end of each row

	Article organization assessment criteria	evaluation					Row End
1	The article is well organized and conforms to the “Instructions for authors”.	1	2	3	4	5	4
2	Figures, tables and pictures are corresponding.	1	2	3	4	5	3
3	The references reflect the topicality of the article.	1	2	3	4	5	4
4	References are cited as directed	1	2	3	4	5	4
5	The article is written in standard language, relevant and interesting.	1	2	3	4	5	5

[3] Reviewer's recommendation

Mark with "X" one of the options.

You state the article should be:

1	Accepted as is	
2	Accepted with minor revision	√
3	Accepted with major revision	

[4] General remarks and recommendations of reviewer

Catatan:

1. Pada abstrak, latar belakang dan rumusan masalah tertulis bahwa penelitian ini memiliki 3 tujuan yaitu (1) the mastery of science process skills, (2) experimental group skills of *web* surfing, and (3) group performance on distance education of S1 primary school teachers tetapi di hasil dan pembahasan hanya dua saja.
2. Tabel 3 dan 4 belum sesuai dengan format
3. Perhatikan jarak antara judul tabel dengan tabel (6 ppt)
4. Belum terlihat pembahasan dari hasil yang didapatkan
5. Lihat kembali cara menulis nama author berdasarkan panduan IOP

[5] Data on reviewer

Name and surname: REVIEW_1

Place and date: Bima/ 17 November 2018

Review Form

Please review the attached article. Review should be based on your expert analysis, good information on the subject of the article and the relevant literature. Please review to point out any deficiencies in the text and, if necessary, provide instruction on the amendments or modifications to the text.

General data on paper

Article Number: Hartono dan Rahmi Susanti

Reviewer Name: REVIEW_2

Date: 19 November 2018

Evaluation Criteria

[1] Articles's content rating

Rounding numbers 1 to 5 evaluate each of these evaluation criteria of the articles content where 5 means complete suitability for presentation while 1 absolute grounds for refusal of the article. Write the number at the end of each row

	Article content assessment criteria	evaluation					Row End
1	The paper is written under „Instructions for Authors“.	1	2	3	4	5	2
2	Paper title reflects the content and purpose of the research.	1	2	3	4	5	4
3	Abstract includes information important for understanding the content of the paper.	1	2	3	4	5	3
4	The introduction clearly defines the purpose and objective of the work/research.	1	2	3	4	5	4
5	Worked out a review of previous research in the treated area.	1	2	3	4	5	3
6	The methodology is clearly defined.	1	2	3	4	5	4
7	Showing results support the applied methodology and conclusions.	1	2	3	4	5	4
8	The conclusion is based and contributes to the discharge of treated problems.	1	2	3	4	5	4
9	Article is a contribution by the theory / practice.	1	2	3	4	5	5

[2] Articles's organization rating

Rounding numbers 1 to 5 evaluate each of these evaluation criteria of the articles content where 5 means complete suitability for presentation while 1 absolute grounds for refusal of the article. Write the number at the end of each row

	Article organization assessment criteria	evaluation					Row End
1	The article is well organized and conforms to the “Instructions for authors”.	1	2	3	4	5	2
2	Figures, tables and pictures are corresponding.	1	2	3	4	5	2
3	The references reflect the topicality of the article.	1	2	3	4	5	4
4	References are cited as directed	1	2	3	4	5	4
5	The article is written in standard language, relevant and interesting.	1	2	3	4	5	4

[3] Reviewer's recommendation

Mark with "X" one of the options.

You state the article should be:

1	Requires Minor Revision	X
2	Requires Moderate Revision	
3	Requires Mayor Revision	
4	Reject on Grounds of	

[4] General remarks and recommendations of review

1. Please check back the captions of tables and figures
2. Please add discussion to the results and discussion chapter.
3. Please check the language accuracy, write in clear and concise grammatical English.
4. Please use the recommend references style and make sure you follow the template guideline as attached

[5] Data on reviewer

Name and surname: REVIEW_2

**FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING
AND EDUCATION**
SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY
Jalan Srijaya Negara Bukit Besar
Palembang 30139 South Sumatra
Indonesia
+62711353265

3rd SULE-IC 2018
(3rd SRIWIJAYA UNIVERSITY
LEARNING AND EDUCATION
INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
2018)



Place and date: Palembang, 18 November 2018

yahoo!mail Temukan pesan, dokumen, foto, atau orang

rahmi Awal

Tulis

Kembali

46.pdf Halaman 1 dari 1

HARTONO MA <hartonosains@yahoo.com>
Kepada: Rahmi Susanti
Sab, 1 Sep 2018 jam 10.42

----- Pesan yang Diteruskan -----
Dari: suleic fkip <suleic@fkip.unsri.ac.id>
Kepada: "hartonosains@yahoo.co.id" <hartonosains@yahoo.co.id>
Terakhir: Sabtu, 01 September 2018 06.18.52 WIB
Judul: Acceptance Notification

Dear Author,
Kindly find the attached Acceptance Notification

The detailed comment from reviewers on content and format of the paper will be sent shortly

46.pdf
111kB

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
SRINJAYA UNIVERSITY
Jalan Raya Ngablak Suka Baru
Semarang 50132
Indonesia
T: 0271-822222

3rd SULEIC 2018
(3rd SRINJAYA UNIVERSITY
LEARNING AND EDUCATION
INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
2018)

No : 48/SULEIC-2018
Attachment : Reviewers' Comment
Subject : Notification of Acceptance
30 August 2018

Dear Hartono,
Greetings from Srinjaya University

The committee has completed the review of your paper submitted for the 3rd Srinjaya University Learning and Education (SULEIC) 2018. The decision is made based on peer review report, scientific merit and relevance. We are pleased to inform you that your paper entitled Effect of Web-Assisted Science Practicum Program (WASAPP) toward Science Process Skills of Elementary Teacher Education Students on Distance Learning with the following author(s): I. Hartono has been accepted to be presented in the conference.

The manuscript must be revised in accordance to the detailed comments and suggestions from the reviewers and must arrive at the conference paper repository. The detailed comments and suggestion on content and paper format from reviewers will be sent shortly.

Please register on the following page: <http://www.suleic.fkip.unsri.ac.id/2018/08/30/> for presenter and <http://www.suleic.fkip.unsri.ac.id/2018/08/30/> for co-author. The presenting paper, accompanying journal, and observer, and the bank transfer receipt is also uploaded on the webpage(s) by 6 September 2018. The payment is transferred to:

Account No.	711500960
Beneficiary Name	UNIVERSITAS SRINJAYA
Bank Name	BNP KCP UNSRI INDRALAYA
Bank Address	JALAN RAYA PALEMBANG-PARABUNGULUM KIL. 32 OGAN LIR
City	Palembang
Country	Indonesia
Bank Code	BNIC03XXXX

For further information, do not hesitate to contact us.

yahoo!mail Temukan pesan, dokumen, foto, atau orang

rahmi

Tulis

Kembali

Arsipkan Pindahkan Hapus Spam

Proof of Bank transfer cq Hartono and Rahmi Susanti Yahoo/Terkirim

rahmi susanti <mamahabnur@yahoo.co.id>
Kepada: Suleic Fkip
Sab, 8 Sep 2018 jam 08.54

Bukti Pem... .docx
117.8kB

Kepada Suleic Fkip

yahoo!mail Temukan pesan, dokumen, foto, atau orang

rahmi

Tulis

Kembali

Arsipkan Pindahkan Hapus Spam

Hasil review artikel a.n Hartono and Rahmi Susanti Yahoo/Terkirim

rahmi susanti <mamahabnur@yahoo.co.id>
Kepada: Suleic Fkip
Sel, 27 Nov 2018 jam 15.06

Hartono R... .docx
67.9kB

Kepada Suleic Fkip

Proof of Bank Transfer

Author: Hartono and Rahmi Susanti

Title : Effect of Web-Assisted Science Practicum Program (W-ASPP) toward Science Process Skills of Elementary Teacher Education Students on Distance Learning

04/09/18	13:09	S1APBG01RX
		KLN UNSRI 3
****040103397445		
NO. REKORD 8448		
NAMA PENGIRIM: IBU RAHMI SUSANTI		
REK.TUJUAN	:	7115800699
NAMA PENERIMA: - UNIVERSITAS SRIWIJAYA		
JUMLAH	:	RP1.250.000
BERITA	:	
SIMPAN RESI INI		
SEBAGAI BUKTI TRANSAKSI YANG SAH		