rticle Information Overview

**Manuscript ID** 

symmetry-2244914

Status

Website online

DOI

10.3390/sym15040887

**Publication Certificate** 

**Banner** 

**Download Banner (PDF)** 

**Website Links** 

Abstract HTML version PDF version Manuscript

Article type

Article

**Title** 

Median-KNN Regressor-SMOTE-Tomek Links for Handling Missing and Imbalanced Data in Air

**Quality Prediction** 

**Journal** 

**Symmetry** 

Volume

**15** 

Issue

4

Section

**Computer Science and Symmetry/Asymmetry** 

**Special Issue** 

**Machine Learning and Data Analysis** 

**Abstract** 

The Air Quality Index (AQI) dataset contains information on measurements of pollutants and ambient air quality conditions at certain location that can be used to predict air quality. Unfortunately, this dataset often has many missing observations and imbalanced classes. Both of these problems can affect the performance of the prediction model. In particular, predictions for the minority class are very important because inaccurate predictions can be fatal or cause big losses. Moreover, the missing data may lead to biased results. This paper proposes the single imputation of the median and the multiple imputations of the k-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) regressor to handle missing values of less than or equal to 10% and more than 10%, respectively. At the same time, the SMOTE-Tomek Links address the imbalanced class. These proposed approaches to handle both issues are then used to assess the air quality prediction of the India AQI dataset using Naive Bayes (NB), KNN, and C4.5. The five treatments show that the proposed method of the Median-KNN regressor-SMOTE-Tomek Links is able to improve the performance of the India air quality prediction model. In other words, the proposed method succeeds in overcoming the problems of missing values and class imbalance.

Keywords

air quality; missing values; imbalanced data; median; KNN; SMOTE-Tomek Links



Data is of paramount importance to scientific progress, yet most research data drowns in supplementary files or remains private. Enhancing the transparency of the data processes will help to render scientific research results reproducible and thus more accountable. Co-submit your methodical data processing articles or data descriptors for a linked data set in Data journal to make your data more citable and reliable.

- Deposit your data set in an online repository, obtain the DOI number or link to the deposited data set.
- Download and use the Microsoft Word template or LaTeX template to prepare your data article.
- Upload and send your data article to the <u>Data</u> journal <u>here</u>.

## **Submit To Data**

**Author Information** 

**Submitting Author** 

Yulia Resti

**Corresponding Author** 

Yulia Resti

Author #1

Winoto Chandra

Affiliation

- 1. Doctoral Study Program, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Sriwijaya, Jl. Padang Selasa Bukit Besar, Palembang 30139, Sumatera Selatan, Indonesia
- 2. Department of Information System, Faculty of Computer Science, Universitas Bina Darma, Jl. Jenderal A. Yani No. 3, Palembang 30111, Sumatera Selatan, Indonesia

E-Mail

080136216221001@student.unsri.ac.id (co-author email has not been published))

Author #2

**Bambang Suprihatin** 

**Affiliation** 

- 3. Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Sriwijaya,
- Jl. Raya Palembang-Prabumulih, Km.32, Inderalaya 30062, Sumatera Selatan, Indonesia

E-Mail

bambangs@unsri.ac.id (co-author email has not been published))

Author #3

Yulia Resti 堕



Affiliation

- 3. Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Sriwijaya,
- Jl. Raya Palembang-Prabumulih, Km.32, Inderalaya 30062, Sumatera Selatan, Indonesia

E-Mail

yulia\_resti@mipa.unsri.ac.id (corresponding author email)

**Manuscript Information** 

**Received Date** 

28 February 2023

**Revised Date** 

30 March 2023

**Accepted Date** 

3 April 2023

**Published Date** 

9 April 2023

Submission to First Decision (Days)

23

Submission to Publication (Days)

39

**Round of Revision** 

1

Size of PDF

3875 KiB

**Word Count** 

5769

**Page Count** 

16

**Figure Count** 

10

**Table Count** 

11

**Reference Count** 

35

**Editor Decision** 

Decision

Accept in current form

**Decision Date** 

31 March 2023

**Review Report** 

Reviewer 1

**Review Report (Round 1)** 

Reviewer 2

**Review Report (Round 1)** 

**Reviewer 3** 

**Review Report (Round 1)** 

Author's Notes							
Please see the attachment.							
Author's Notes File							
Report Notes							
Review Report Form							
Quality of English Language							
( ) English very difficult to understand/incompre	hens	ible					
( ) Extensive editing of English language and style required							
( ) Moderate English changes required							
(x) English language and style are fine/minor spe	ll che	ck required	[				
( ) I am not qualified to assess the quality of English in this paper							
	Can Yes		be Must	be Not			
	103	improved	improved	applicable			
Does the introduction provide sufficien background and include all relevant references?	t (x)	( )	( )	( )			
Are all the cited references relevant to the research?	? (x)	( )	( )	( )			
Is the research design appropriate?	(x)	( )	( )	( )			
Are the methods adequately described?	(x)	( )	( )	( )			

Comments and Suggestions for Authors

Are the conclusions supported by the results?

Are the results clearly presented?

Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 1)

In this research, the author proposed the multiple imputations of the KNN regressor-SMOTE-Tomek links to handle the missing and imbalanced Air Quality Index data set, which can be used to predict air quality. This work shows a high potential for the improvement of the performance of the air quality prediction model.

(x) ()

(x) ()

( )

( )

( )

There are some problems, which must be solved before it is considered for publication. If the following issues are well-addressed, this reviewer believes that the essential contribution of this paper is important for overcoming the problem of data missing and imbalance.

- 1. There are at least two grammatical and spelling errors in the manuscript, such as, in line18, one more full stop before "Moreover"; and in lines 230 and 233, "F-1 score" should be "F1-score"; in line 306, one more full stop after "value of 100%"; in line 342, it should be "the more naive assumption..."; in line 386, it should be "using naive Bayes...".
- 2. In Figure 3, the RMSE of k=22 seems lower than that of k=14, the author can give an inset to zoom up on this part. And in Figure 4, the lowest RMSE seems in k=3, the author can also give an inset in this figure.
- 3. The X and Y label seems too small in Figure 5, and readers may can not see them clearly.
- 4. In table 10, can the author demonstrate what the negative value represents, like the precision of LR DNA methylation?

5. Authors need to unify the format of all refero journal, like Ref 5, 17; whether to use both the 18; and whether to abbreviate the journal nat	ne sta			
Submission Date				
28 February 2023				
Date of this review				
16 Mar 2023 07:27:14				
Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewant Author's Notes Please see the attachment. Author's Notes File Report Notes Review Report Form Quality of English Language  ( ) English very difficult to understand/incompreh ( ) Extensive editing of English language and style ( ) Moderate English changes required (x) English language and style are fine/minor spell ( ) I am not qualified to assess the quality of English	nensi e req	ble uired ck required		
	Yes	Can improved	be Must improved	be Not
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	(x)	( )	( )	( )
Are all the cited references relevant to the research?	(x)	( )	( )	( )
Is the research design appropriate?	(x)	( )	( )	( )
Are the methods adequately described?	(x)	( )	( )	( )

Comments and Suggestions for Authors

Are the conclusions supported by the results?

Are the results clearly presented?

Dear Authors

overall mauscript is written very well, it is clear and right to the point. the quality of the Figures are low, please improve them and use white background for all of them.

(x) ()

(x) ()

( )

( )

( )

( )

Abstract:

Line 18: remove excessive "."

Line 236-247: these are more of a methodology, please move them to method part. Here, you should focus on the results of the research.

Submission Date
28 February 2023
Date of this review
25 Mar 2023 09:14:50
Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 3)
Author's Notes
Please see the attachment.
Author's Notes File
Report Notes
Review Report Form
Quality of English Language
( ) English very difficult to understand/incomprehensible

( ) Extensive editing of English language and style required

(x) English language and style are fine/minor spell check required( ) I am not qualified to assess the quality of English in this paper

( ) Moderate English changes required

	Yes	Can improved		be Must improved		be Not applicable	
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	(x)	(	)	(	)	(	)
Are all the cited references relevant to the research?	(x)	(	)	(	)	(	)
Is the research design appropriate?	(x)	(	)	(	)	(	)
Are the methods adequately described?	(x)	(	)	(	)	(	)
Are the results clearly presented?	(x)	(	)	(	)	(	)
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	(x)	(	)	(	)	(	)

Comments and Suggestions for Authors

The article is devoted to problem-solving (missing observations and imbalanced classes) approaches for future air quality forecasting. The publication is well structured, theoretical calculations have been tested on air quality prediction based on data set of the India AQI.

Submission Date 28 February 2023 Date of this review 22 Mar 2023 13:26:17