

Dokumen Bukti Korespondensi untuk karya Penelitian dengan judul artikel: **Water Retention Option of Drainage System for Dry Season Corn Cultivation at Tidal Lowland Area.**

Penulis : Bakri, Momon Sodik Imanudin and Masreah Bernas, Nama Jurnal : **AGRIVITA** Journal of Agricultural Science Faculty of Agricultural, University of Brawijaya, Volume Jurnal 37 N0 3 Tahun Terbit 2015 Halaman 237-246, ISSN: 0126-0537  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.17503/Agrivita-2015-37-3-p237-246>, yang terdiri dari:

1. Surat Permohonan Publikasi ke AGRIVITA (7 Januari 2015)
  2. Surat Jawaban dari Jurnal AGRIVITA (8 Januari 2015)
  3. Surat dari editor Jurnal URAT AGRIVITA (2 Maret 2015)
  4. Surat Konfirmasi dari Penulis (29-5-2015)
  5. Surat Jawaban dari redaksi (14 September 2015)
  6. Revisi Manuscrip berdasarkan Reviewers (14 September 2015)
  7. Surat Invoice Jurnal AGRIVITA (30 September 2015)
  8. Penanda tangan ethical Statement (20 Oktober 2015)
  9. Data Lampiran Komunikasi (Revisi manuscript, Form Penilaian, bukti pembayaran dan manuscript final)
- 
- 

## 1. SURAT PERMOHONAN PUBLIKASI KE AGRIVITA (7 Januari 2015)

**momon sodik Imanudin** <momon\_unsri@yahoo.co.id>

**Kepada:** agrivita@ub.ac.id

**Cc:** agrivita@ub.ac.id

Rab, 7 Jan 2015 jam 21.30

Yth Dewan Redaksi

Saya Bakri dosen Unsri bermaksud terbitkan jurnal... mohon kiranya bisa di evaluasi dan di terbitkan

Terima kasih

Bakri

---

## 2. SURAT DARI JURNAL AGRIVITA (8 Januari 2015)

**agrivita@ub.ac.id**

**Kepada:** momon\_unsri@yahoo.co.id

Kam, 8 Jan 2015 jam 08.25

Yth. Bapak Bakri

Sebelumnya kami ucapkan terima kasih atas kepercayaan yang Bapak berikan kepada jurnal Agrivita.

Redaksi Agrivita menerima artikel penulis melalui OJS (Open Journal System) yang dapat diakses melalui link berikut ini:

<http://agrivita.ub.ac.id/index.php/agrivita/index>

Mohon dengan hormat untuk mendaftar terlebih dahulu di OJS untuk kemudian men-submit artikel Bapak.

Sebagai informasi, jurnal Agrivita telah menjadi jurnal Internasional sejak Oktober 2010 dengan nama Agrivita Journal of Agricultural Science (AJAS).

Syarat dan prosedur penulisan artikel dapat Bapak lihat di website Agrivita melalui link tersebut di atas.

Atas perhatian yang Bapak berikan, kami ucapkan terima kasih.

Salam,  
Redaksi Agrivita

On Wed, 7 Jan 2015 14:30:18 +0000 (UTC), Momon sodik Imanudin <[momon\\_unsri@yahoo.co.id](mailto:momon_unsri@yahoo.co.id)> wrote:

> Yth Dewan Redaksi Saya Bakri dosen Unsri bermaksud terbitkan jurnal...  
> mohon kiranya bisa di evaluasi dan di terbitkan Terima kasih Bakri

### #516 Summary

- Summary
- Review
- Editing

### Submission

|                |   |
|----------------|---|
| Authors        | Bakri Bakri, Momon Sodik Imanudin, S. Masreah Bernas  |
| Title          | WATER RETENTION OPTION OF DRAINAGE SYSTEM FOR DRY SEASON CORN CULTIVATION AT TIDAL LOWLAND AREA |
| Original file  | 516-2155-1-SM.doc 2015-01-30  |
| Supp. files    | 516-2206-1-SP.docx 2015-02-10   |
| Submitter      | Momon Sodik Imanudin, Dr.   |
| Date submitted | February 10, 2015 - 05:25 PM  |
| Section        | Articles  |

- Summary
- Review
- Editing

### Submission

|         |   |
|---------|---|
| Authors | Bakri Bakri, Momon Sodik Imanudin, S. Masreah Bernas  |
| Title   | WATER RETENTION OPTION OF DRAINAGE SYSTEM FOR DRY SEASON CORN CULTIVATION AT TIDAL LOWLAND AREA |
| Section | Articles  |
| Editor  | Budi Prasetya   |

### Peer Review

#### Round 1

|                |                              |
|----------------|------------------------------|
| Review Version | 516-2207-1-RV.doc 2015-02-10 |
| Initiated      | —                            |
| Last modified  | —                            |
| Uploaded file  | None                         |

### About Agrivita

- Aim and Scope
- Editorial Team
- Publication Ethics
- Visitor Statistics
- Reviewer Acknowledgment

### Issues

- Current Issue
- Back Issues
- Article in Press
- Accepted Papers

### Information For Author

- Author Guidelines
- Template (docx)
- Template (pdf)

- Aim and Scope
- Editorial Team
- Publication Ethics
- Visitor Statistics
- Reviewer Acknowledgment

### Issues

- Current Issue
- Back Issues
- Article in Press
- Accepted Papers

### Information For Author

- Author Guidelines
- Template (docx)
- Template (pdf)

### Index



### 3. SURAT DARI EDITOR JURNAL AGRIVITA (2 Maret 2015)

## Editor/Author Correspondence

Editor ~~DELETE~~

2015-03-02 01:04 PM  
Subject: [AGRIVITA] Editor Decision  
Bakri and Momon Sodik Imanudin:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to AGRIVITA, Journal of Agricultural Science, "WATER RETENTION OPTION OF DRAINAGE SYSTEM FOR DRY SEASON CORN CULTIVATION AT TIDAL LOWLAND AREA".

Our decision is to:re-submitte manuscript. Before reviewing, please match it with Agrivita template (edited manuscript attached)

Kuswanto  
Faculty of Agriculture Universty of Brawijaya  
Phone +62-341-575743  
Fax +62-341-575743  
kuswantoas@ub.ac.id

Agricultural Faculty Universty of Brawijaya  
Jl. Veteran Malang 65145 East Java  
Indonesia  
Phone : +62-341-575743

---

Kuswanto  
Editor in Chief  
Agrivita Journal of Agricultural Science  
Faculty of Agriculture University of Brawijaya  
Jl. Veteran Malang 65145  
E-mail :  
agrivita@ub.ac.id  
agrivitafaperta@yahoo.com  
website : <http://www.agrivita.ub.ac.id>

### 4. SURAT KONFIRMASI DARI PENULIS (29-5-2015)

Autho

r  
2015-05-29 09:59 PM  
Subject: WATER RETENTION OPTION OF DRAINAGE SYSTEM FOR DRY SEASON CORN CULTIVATION AT TIDAL LOWLAND AREA

Dear Pak Budi..

I would like to ask, regarding my paper, I had resubmitted last month. Did you receive my file, and could I know the possibility my paper publish in the journal. I am looking forward to hearing you soon

Thank you.

Baki-Momon

---

Kuswanto  
Editor in Chief  
Agrivita Journal of Agricultural Science  
Faculty of Agriculture University of Brawijaya  
Jl. Veteran Malang 65145  
E-mail :  
agrivita@ub.ac.id  
agrivita@faperta@yahoo.com  
website : <http://www.agrivita.ub.ac.id>

Author

Subject: WATER RETENTION OPTION OF DRAINAGE SYSTEM FOR DRY SEASON CORN CULTIVATION AT TIDAL LOWLAND AREA  
Dear Pak Budi..  
I would like to ask regarding my paper status. Could you please inform when it would be published?  
Thank you  
Momon

---

Kuswanto  
Editor in Chief  
Agrivita Journal of Agricultural Science  
Faculty of Agriculture University of Brawijaya  
Jl. Veteran Malang 65145  
E-mail :  
agrivita@ub.ac.id  
agrivita@faperta@yahoo.com  
website : <http://www.agrivita.ub.ac.id>

**5. SURAT JAWABAN DARI AGRIVITA REDAKSI DAN REVIEWER (14 September 2015)**

Editor

Subject: [AGRIVITA] Editor Decision  
Verry useful Journal Momon Sodik Imanudin:  
AM

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to AGRIVITA, Journal of Agricultural Science, "WATER RETENTION OPTION OF DRAINAGE SYSTEM FOR DRY SEASON CORN CULTIVATION AT TIDAL LOWLAND AREA".

Our decision is to: Revision Required

Kuswanto Kuswanto  
Faculty of Agriculture University of Brawijaya  
Phone +62-341-575743  
Fax +62-341-575743  
kuswantoas@ub.ac.id

Agricultural Faculty University of Brawijaya  
Jl. Veteran Malang 65145 East Java

Indonesia  
Phone : +62-341-575743

Agrivita Editorial Team  
Faculty of Agriculture University of Brawijaya  
Jl. Veteran Malang 65145 East Java Indonesia  
E-mail :  
agrivita@ub.ac.id  
agrivitafaperta@yahoo.com  
website <http://www.agrivita.ub.ac.id>

## 6. Revisi Manuskrip berdasarkan Reviewers (14 September 2015)

### Round 2

|                |                              |            |
|----------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Review Version | 516-2207-4-RV.doc            | 2015-08-31 |
| Initiated      | 2015-08-31                   |            |
| Last modified  | 2015-09-14                   |            |
| Uploaded file  | Reviewer B 516-2884-1-RV.pdf | 2015-09-14 |
|                | Reviewer C 516-2885-1-RV.pdf | 2015-09-14 |

### Editor Decision

|                       |   |                            |  |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| Decision              | Accept Submission 2015-09-28  |                            |  |
| Notify Editor         |  | Editor/Author Email Record |  2015-09-14 |
| Editor Version        | 516-2255-4-ED.doc   | 2015-08-31                 |  |
| Author Version        | 516-2505-5-ED.doc   | 2015-09-21                 | Delete   |
| Upload Author Version | <input type="button" value="Choose File"/>  | No file chosen             | <input type="button" value="Upload"/>  |



Home > User > Author > Submissions > #516 > Editing

### #516 Editing

- Summary
- Review
- Editing

### Submission

|         |  |
|---------|--|
| Authors | Bakri Bakri, Momon Sodik Imanudin, S. Masreah Bernas  |
| Title   | WATER RETENTION OPTION OF DRAINAGE SYSTEM FOR DRY SEASON CORN CULTIVATION AT TIDAL LOWLAND AREA  |
| Section | Articles   |
| Editor  | Budi Prasetya   |

### Copyediting

|                       |      |
|-----------------------|------|
| Copyedit Instructions |      |
| Copyeditor            | None |

|                 |         |          |          |
|-----------------|---------|----------|----------|
| Review Metadata | Request | Underway | Complete |
|-----------------|---------|----------|----------|

### About Agrivita

- Aim and Scope
- Editorial Team
- Publication Ethics
- Visitor Statistics
- Reviewer Acknowledgment

### Issues

- Current Issue
- Back Issues
- Article in Press
- Accepted Papers

### Information For Author

- Author Guidelines
- Template (docx)
- Template (pdf)

### Index



**agrivita@ub.ac.id**

**Kepada:** momon\_unsri@yahoo.co.id

Kam, 29 Okt 2015 jam 08.13

AGRIVITA edisi Oktober 2015 hingga saat ini masih dalam proses cetak dan akan kami kirim ketika proses cetak sudah selesai.

Terima kasih atas kepercayaan Bapak kepada AGRIVITA sebagai media desiminasi hasil pertanian.

Regards

Silvia Santi

## 7. SURAT JURNAL AGRIVITA PEMBAYARAN

**Agrivita Faperta** <agrivita\_faperta@yahoo.com>

**Kepada:** momon\_unsri@yahoo.co.id

**Cc:** dwi.hapsoro@fp.unila.ac.id

Rab, 30 Sep 2015 jam 08.08

Dear authors,

•

PUBLICATION PAYMENT LETTER okt 15.pdf

160.2kB

**Momon sodik Imanudin** <momon\_unsri@yahoo.co.id>

**Kepada:** agrivita@ub.ac.id

**Cc:** silvia.fp@ub.ac.id

Rab, 23 Sep 2015 jam 14.38

Yth Redaksi Agrivita,

Terima kasih atas bantuannya. Berikut kami lampirkan makalah yang sudah dilengkapi.

Terima kasih

Hormat saya

Momon Sodik

Unsri

**Kuswanto** <kuswantoas@ub.ac.id>

**Kepada:** momon\_unsri@yahoo.co.id, agrivita@ub.ac.id

Sel, 15 Sep 2015 jam 17.59

I wait for it shortly, pak. It will be published. Technical editor will contact you to tell about ethical statement and publishing fee. Thank.

Best whises  
Kuswanto

---

**From:** [Momon sodik Imanudin](#)  
**Sent:** 14/09/2015 16:46  
**To:** [Kuswanto Kuswanto](#)  
**Subject:** Bls: [AGRIVITA] Editor Decision

Dear Prof Kuswanto,  
Thank you for decission.. I will revised shortly, however we need additional literature.. and analysis as reviewer suggestion, so it will take time. Thank you.  
Best regard,  
Momon and Bakri

Tampilkan pesan asli

--

This email was virus checked by UB Mail Gateway

--

This email was virus checked by UB Mail Gateway

Secure by Sophos

--

**agrivita@ub.ac.id**

**Kepada:**heniwidya@gmail.com,hapsohdin@yahoo.co.id,momon\_unsri@yahoo.co.id,ruslan wr@ub.ac.id,restuwulan\_sari@yahoo.comdan 6 lainnya...

Sen, 20 Apr 2015 jam 13.53

Dear Authors,

Due to your manuscript in the AGRIVITA OJS (Open Journal System), we expect you to fill the Publication Ethics form.

The form can be downloaded in the link below:

<http://agrivita.ub.ac.id/index.php/agrivita/pages/view/ethics>

After filling the form we urge you to send it by email not more than April 22, 2015. Please read the form carefully.  
Thank you. We look forward to your feedback.

Regards,  
Agrivita Editorial Team

--

This email was virus checked by UB Mail Gateway - Supported by Sophos

Secure by Sophos

## **8. PENANDATANGANAN ETHICAL STATEMENT (20 Oktober 2015)**

**agrivita@ub.ac.id**

**Kepada:** momon\_unsri@yahoo.co.id, obbello@yahoo.com, dody004@gmail.com, igbadwitaarsa@yahoo.com, asrfp@ub.ac.id dan 2 lainnya...

Sel, 20 Okt 2015 jam 11.27

Dear Author,

Due to your article in AGRIVITA OJS (Open Journal System), we expect you to fill the Publishing Ethical Statement form.

The form can be downloaded in the link below:

<http://agrivita.ub.ac.id/index.php/agrivita/pages/view/ethics>

After filling the form we urge you to send it by email not more than October 22, 2015. Please read the form carefully.

Thank you. We look forward to your feedback.

Regards,  
Agrivita Editorial Team

--

This email was virus checked by UB Mail Gateway

Secure by Sophos

## **9. DATA LAMPIRAN KOMONIKASI (Revisi Manuscrip, Evaluasi Form, Pernyataan, bukti pembayaran)**

# WATER RETENTION OPTION OF DRAINAGE SYSTEM FOR DRY SEASON CORN CULTIVATION AT TIDAL LOWLAND AREA

Bakri, Momon Sodik Imanudin and Masreah Bernas  
Researchers at Suboptimal Land Research Center of Unsri  
Lecturers in Soil Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Unsri  
Campus of Unsri-Indralaya Telp 62-711-580-460

Email: [momon\\_unsri@yahoo.co.id](mailto:momon_unsri@yahoo.co.id)

## ABSTRACT

Farming constraint at tidal lowland area is water management related to the nature of excessive water during wet season and insufficient water during dry season. The wet season shifting was happened during the planting period of 2014 in which rainfall was still occurred up to August month. This condition provide opportunity for famers to conduct three times planting. The field research objective was related to crop cultivation in the third season. Corn was planted on month of August 2014. Installation of subsurface drainage that previously had function as water discharge was converted into water retention. Rainfall water may be stored as much as possible in soil through subsurface pipes that were connected to control structure equipped with stoplog gate to prevent subsurface water flowing out toward tertiary channel. The research results showed that corn crop had good growth during peak dry season period (October) in which water table was at – 50 cm below soil surface, whereas water table depth was dropped to – 70 cm below soil surface in land without subsurface drainage. This condition implied that installation of subsurface drainage at dry season had function as water retention, not as water discharge. Therefore, network function was inverted from water discharge into water retention. It had impact on the development of optimum water surface that flow in capillary mode to fulfill the crop's water requirement.

Maximum 200 words, keep our template at : <http://agrivita.ub.ac.id/index.php/agrivita/pages/view/template>

**Keywords:** Water retention, tidal lowlands, subsurface drainage, corn

## INTRODUCTION

Agricultural activities at tidal lowlands are progressively showing good result. These were indicated by the land productivity in which most of reclaimed tidal lowlands at South Sumatra had two times planting (planting index (PI) of 200). The success key was due to development of water management infrastructures equipped with water control structure at tertiary level for most of the land. In order to increase land productivity into PI of 300, new innovation of water table control technology is needed. The objective of this innovation is that farmers still capable to conduct crop cultivation during condition of limited water availability.

Innovation of water table control was conducted by installing subsurface drainage and had been studied for the last two years (Bakri *et al.*, 2014). Results of application study at tidal lowlands showed that this system was only effective during transition period. Water flooding was excessive during wet season so that open channel system was still needed. This system was capable to lower water table depth during transition period which facilitate corn cultivation. Further study is needed to determine utilization of this system during dry season period. Drainage system will be converted into water retention system that capable to maintain water table depth. Water supply for this system is solely depend on rainfall. High tide water condition in tertiary channel at B typhology land can not reach farm land in which the only function of water supply in tertiary channel is to maintain water balance at tertiary plot. Therefore, tertiary channel has function as subreservoir which is supported by valve gate operation as supply.

Water retention system in subsurface drainage provides water table condition that is not exceeding the critical depth value for corn crop. According to Lucas (1982 *in* FAO, 1988), corn crop is capable to grow in water table depth condition in the range of 45 cm to 75 cm. Subsurface drainage installation is ideally constructed at depth of 0.6 m below soil surface (Lamm and Troojen, 2005). The recommended spacing between pipe channel for subsurface drainage on clay textural dominated soil was 6 m (Kelly *et al.*, 2012).

Based on the above description, application study of subsurface drainage system is important to be conducted. This paper presented field study results related to operation shifting of subsurface drainage system from water discharge system into water retention system.

**Introduction 750-1000 words. Keep our template at  
<http://agrivita.ub.ac.id/index.php/agrivita/pages/view/template>**

## **METHODOLOGY**

This study was conducted at tidal lowlands area of B typhology land in which water can not overflow into farm land so that high tide irrigation is not feasible to be conducted. Implementation of this field study was on third planting period of July to October 2014. Corn was planted at demplot having area of 0.25 ha.

Water management network was consisted of tertiary and secondary channels (Figure 1). The existing open channel system was maintained by providing micro channels with inter-channel distance of 8 m. Subsurface pipe installation was burried at channel base with depth of 20 cm from micro channel base so that pipe depth was 50 cm relative to soil surface. The drainage pipe was made from perforated PVC pipe which was capped with coconut fiber on its surface.

For water table control purpose, all pipes were connected by using the fork system in which the end part was connected with collector pipe. The control structure (control box) was constructed in the middle of collector pipe equipped with stoplog gate. The function of this stoplog gate is to prevent water from flowing out into tertiary channel.

In addition, data of rainfall and channel water surface depth will be processed by using SEW-30 concept (*Surplus Excess Water – 30*). This concept is used to show the condition of soil water excess (cm/day) during crop growing period with the following equation :

$$SEW-30 = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{30 - x_j}{24}$$

where  $x_j$  is water table surface at the end of respective hours and m (meter) is final total hours during plant growth.




Figure 1. Diagram of micro water management system equipped with subsurface drainage pipe in field

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION (poor of supported references at this chapter)

### A. Physical Characteristics of Soil

Soil capability to distribute water is highly depend on its hydraulic conductivity value. The measurement of soil hydraulic conductivity was conducted directly in land plot by using auger hole method. Results of direct measurement in field showed that soil hydraulic conductivity in general had moderate values (Table 1). The magnitude value of soil hydraulic conductivity is highly affected by soil texture, organic matter content and field condition such as root distribution of plant. Moderate values of soil hydraulic conductivity are suitable for application of subsurface drainage. This was due to the fact that vertival and horizontal water movement are capable to

counterbalance water retention capacity from subsurface pipe. On the other hand, if soil hydraulic conductivity is very slow, then flow capacity is also slow below the retention or capacity of subsurface pipe drainage resulting in flooded land condition.

Table 1. Observation results of soil hydraulic conductivity

| No. | Point | Hydraulic conductivity (cm/hour) | Criteria |
|-----|-------|----------------------------------|----------|
| 1   | 1     | 20.88                            | Moderate |
| 2   | 2     | 16.7                             | Moderate |
| 3   | 3     | 20.5                             | Moderate |
| 4   | 4     | 19.6                             | Moderate |
| 5   | 5     | 19.87                            | Moderate |
| 6   | 6     | 17.25                            | Moderate |

Textural classes at upper layer (Table 2) in the study area were consisted of clay, loamy clay and clayey loam. Soil with loam texture is soil transition between sand and clay textures that has relatively good soil holding capacity and soil nutrients, less sticky and relatively soft as well as has good tilth. On the other hand, soil at the second layer soil (Table 3) was dominated by clay texture with magnitude greater than 50%. This soil layer has slow water flow capacity.

Table 2. Soil Textural Classes of Layer 1

| Observation Points | Layer Depth (cm) | Textural Fraction (%) |      |      | Textural Classes |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------|------|------------------|
|                    |                  | Sand                  | Loam | Clay |                  |
| T1                 | 0-17             | 32.4                  | 44   | 23.6 | Clayey loam      |
| T2                 | 0-15             | 28.4                  | 40   | 31.6 | Loamy clay       |
| T3                 | 0-13             | 18.4                  | 58   | 23.6 | Loam             |
| T4                 | 0-9              | 36.4                  | 40   | 23.6 | Loam             |
| T5                 | 0-12             | 40.4                  | 38   | 21.6 | Loam             |

Table 3. Soil Textural Classes of Layer 2

| Observation Points | Layer Depth (cm) | Textural Fraction (%) |      |      | Textural Classes |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------|------|------------------|
|                    |                  | Sand                  | Loam | Clay |                  |
| T1                 | 17-60            | 16.4                  | 34   | 49.6 | Clay             |
| T2                 | 15-60            | 12.4                  | 16   | 51.6 | Clay             |
| T3                 | 13-60            | 12.4                  | 36   | 51.6 | Clay             |
| T4                 | 19.-60           | 12.4                  | 36   | 51.6 | Clay             |
| T5                 | 12.-60           | 14.4                  | 34   | 51.6 | Clay             |

## B. Characteristics of Water Management Network

Water management network at the study area of Mulyasari Village (P17-5S) can be classified into three classes as follows : 1. Macro Channel (Primary Channel and Navigation Channel), 2. Meso Channel (Secondary Channel of SPD and SDU) and 3. Micro Channel (Tertiary Channel, Quarterly Channel and Micro Channel). Each water management network is directly interconnected according to its level sequence, i.e. shallow meso channel result in improper function of micro channel which in turn create disturbance of farming practices.

The existence of macro channel as part of water management network can also be used as transportation means and trading, whereas meso channel without water gate structure can also function similar to macro channel. Micro channel is directly “ contact with” farm land. Condition of each channel can be described as follows :

1. Tertiary Channel : this channel is located at every two paddy field plots (200 m) which connected two secondary channels consisting of Main Drainage Channel (SDU) and Village Irrigation Channel (SPD) in perpendicular position. Condition of tertiary channels nowadays is relatively clean because water weeds and mud sediment has been cleaned and transported into Farm Road. One Secondary Block (256 ha) is consisted of 17 Tertiary Channels.
2. Quarterly Channel : this channel is perpendicular to Tertiary Channel and covers one paddy field plot (100 m). This channel is frequently planted with rice crop and other wetland plants. Condition of this channel is relatively clean.
3. Micro Channel : this channel is located at the center of farm land plot so that during land tillage operation for rice crop by using hand tractor which in turn make this channel level with paddy field soil surface. Prior to planting operation, this channel is rebuild which consisted of 7 units per half of hectar (14 units per hectare). Farmers usually develop this channel in greater numbers for corn cultivation with interchannel distance of 6 to 8 m in order to discharge more water.
4. Subsurface drainage pipe is installed below micro channel with interchannel distance of to 8 m. Pipe was burried at depth of 20 cm from the base of micro channel. Monitoring box (control box) is installed to control water tabel depth such as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Centralized control system using control box

## C. Corn Cultivation

The third planting is started in June. The cultivated area was 5,000 m<sup>2</sup> located in tertiary channel (Tc) 5. Duration for corn cultivation was 3 months and soil tillage was done by using hand tractor. Soil tillage was consisted of plowing and harrowing operations which was conducted a

week before planting and soil tillage duration was 7 days. The used variety was Pioneer (P27) with magnitude of 3 packs having weight of 5 kg per pack. Plant dressing was conducted when plant was 2 weeks old and it was done only one time. Fertilizers used in this corn cultivation were consisted of Urea, TSP and KCl respectively with doses of 250 kg/ha, 200 kg/ha and 200kg/ha. Fertilizing was done when plant was 14 days and 40 days old, respectively. Fertilizing was done by spreading fertilizers in the vicinity of corn plant.

In addition to fertilizing and weeding, farmers also done crop's maintenance by regulating crop water requirement through operation of tertiary water gate. This activity was conducted by changing water gate position based on function and water requirement, i.e. water gate is located in front position for water discharge operation (drainage) and water gate is located in rear position for water supply into farm land (irrigation).

Pests which attack corn plant are caterpillar and rat. Pest control was conducted by using chemical pesticide with application dose of 1 liter/ ha. Frequency of pest control was to times during corn plant was 26 days and 60 days old, respectively.

Harvesting operation for corn was done when corn plant was 3 months old, i.e on 29 September 2014. Corn harvesting was conducted by using manual labour with wage of Rp 60,000/ day per per labour. Corn was harvested by manual picking followed by threshing operation using corn thresher machine. After threshing operation, corn was put into sack and transported by using motor vehicle and followed by drying operation. Drying operation period was 2 days for bright sun condition and it was 4 days for cloudy condition weather. Harvest yield of corn for land area of 0.5 ha was 3.2 tons with selling price of Rp. 2,800/ kg.

#### D. Water Status Evaluation as Impact of Water Retention Operation on Subsurface Drainage System.

Field observation results of water table condition (Figure 3) showed that water table depth at location near the channel was the lowest with magnitude of – 66 cm from soil surface and the highest water table depth was – 7 cm from soil surface during dry season. Water table depth far from the channel had the lowest value of – 82 cm from soil surface and the highest value of – 4 cm from soil surface. This condition showed that water table condition was became higher at location near the channel during wet season and was became lower at location far from the channel during dry season . Figure 3 showed clearly that there was increase of water table depth during wet season which indicated the proper function of subsurface drainage system as water retention. Water retention by closing water gate at control box structure results in water infiltration into soil and no water loss into tertiary channel. Surface flow was collected in open channel and subsequently infiltrated into subsurface drainage pipe.

The water movement mechanisms in process of water table filling during rainfall occurrence can be seen in Figure 3 (A). Rainfall water during this condition will infiltrate into soil and fraction of excess water will flow into micro channel. The bottom part of micro channel is equipped with subsurface drainage system so that channel will not be flooded because water is directly infiltrated into subsurface pipe. This condition may provide surface water reserve that will prevent water table drawdown.




Figure 3. Water table dynamics in corn cultivation at dry season of June-September 2014.




Figure 4. Water movement mechanisms on subsurface drainage system of water retention option : (A), Rainfall water retention and (B). Capillary water utilization (subirrigation).

During no rainfall period (Figure 3B), high tide water had function solely to fill tertiary channel so that no lateral water movement from land into tertiary channel. Control box was operated in close condition so that available water in land can not flowing out. This condition is

capable to maintain the stable water table surface at the depth needed by crops which in turns capable to supply crop water requirement through capillary action.

Water status evaluation using SEW-30 concept for food crops in general is modified by using 10 cm addition resulting in SEW-40 cm. This condition is needed because corn can grow better if water table depth was in the range of 40 to 60 cm (Williamson and van Schilfgaarde, 1965; *in* NDSU downloaded 2014). Analysis results showed that water table depth was relatively good (Figure 5) in fulfilling crop water requirement because total excess water was 612 cm. It showed that the role of subsurface drainage system was effective in decreasing water lost in which water was slowly decrease even during very limited rainfall condition. According to Noretto et al., (2009), water table depth has significant effect on capillary water movement which in turn affect water status in the root zone. The capillary water movement is highly affected by soil texture. The ideal depth for sandy soils is located 140 cm below soil surface. Results of study showed that corn production have decreased by magnitude of 0.05 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for every 10 cm increase of water table depth.




Figure 5. Water excess analysis at critical limit of 40 cm below soil surface.

The best condition to fulfill crop water requirement through capillary water movement was at water table depth of – 100 cm with water supply from rainfall or limited irrigation (Beltrão *et al.*, 1996). According to Liu and Luo (2011), most of capillary water movement can fulfill crop water requirement at water table depth not greater than 110 cm. At dry condition where water table reach 150 cm, the contribution of water table was 65% of potential evapotranspiration requirement.

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

As a conclusion, the key success for crop cultivation at tidal lowlands area is water table control. Control option for dry season is different than that of wet season. The option of water table control at dry condition (MT3) is directed toward water retention so that water gate is operated in maximum closing. This water retention can also decrease water lost through percolation and may increase water table depth so that subsurface drainage system has function as subirrigation. The positive effect from the change in operation had impact on fulfilling of crop water requirement at dry season without provision of water pump. Therefore, farmers can conduct three times planting (IP 300).

Further study is needed for the land having different characteristics such as C land typology that has high soil hydraulic conductivity. Application of different spacings between channels at subsurface drainage system might be explored for different soil textures and this system will be applicable if tertiary channel had equipped with water gate.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We want to acknowledge toward Research and Technology Ministry which provide research funding for this study through **Insinas** Program 2014. Acknowledgement was also delivered to **PUR-PLSO** of Sriwijaya University that had provided facilities and direction for all research activities so that it can properly implemented.

**In english**

**REFERENCES (LACK OF REFERENCES, AT LEAST 15, 80% PRIMER). Keep our template at : <http://agrivita.ub.ac.id/index.php/agrivita/pages/view/template>**

**Bakri, Imanudin M.S. and Bernas, S. 2014. Prosiding Seminar Nasional Lahan Suboptimal 2014, Palembang 26-27 September 2014. I SBN : 979-587-529-9.**

Beltrão, J. Antunes Da Silva, A. and Ben Asher, J. 1996. Modeling the effect of capillary water rise in corn yield in Portugal. *Irrigation and Drainage Systems*. May 1996, Volume 10, Issue 2, pp 179-189.

FAO. 1988. Nature And Management Of Tropical Peat Soils. J. P. Andriessse, *FAO Soils Bulletin* 59. Downloaded. 2014 <Http://Www.Fao.Org/Docrep/X5872e/X5872e00.Htm#Contents>.

Kelly A. Nelson, K.A and Smoot, LR. 2012. Corn Hybrid Response to Water Management Practices on Claypan Soil. *International Journal of Agronomy* Volume 2012 (2012), Article ID 925408, 10 pages.

- Liu T, and Luo. Y. 2011. Effects of Shallow Water Tables on the Water Use and Yield of Winter Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under Rainfed Condition. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*. AJCS 5(13):1692-1697
- Nosetto, M.D, Jobba G.Y., E.G., Jackson,R.B. and Scaider, G,A, 2009. Reciprocal Influence of Crops and Shallow Ground Water in Sandy Landscapes of the Inland Pampas. *Field Crops Research* 113 (2009) 138–148.
- Tan, C.F. Drury , J.D. Gaynor and H.Y.F. Ng. 2013. Effect of Controlled Drainage and Subirrigation on Subsurface Drainage Nitrate Loss And Crop yield at The Farm Scale. *Canadian Water Resources Journal*. Vct.24. No. 3. 1999. Published online: 23 Jan 2013.
- Xiaopeng Li, Scott X. Chang, and K. Francis Salifu. 2013. Soil Texture and Layering Effects on Water and Salt Dynamics in the Presence of a Water Table: a Review. *Environ. Rev.* 21: 1–10 (2013) [dx.doi.org/10.1139/er-2013-0035](https://doi.org/10.1139/er-2013-0035). Published at [www.nrcresearchpress.com/er](http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/er) on 19 August 2013.

# AGRIVITA

JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE  
Faculty of Agriculture, University of Brawijaya  
Accredited by Directorate General of Higher Education No. 81/DIKTI/Kep/2011  
JL. Veteran Telp, Fax : +62-341-575743 E-mail : [agrivita@ub.ac.id](mailto:agrivita@ub.ac.id)  
MALANG – 65145- EAST JAVA – INDONESIA

## MANUSCRIPT EVALUATION FORM

Title: **WATER RETENTION OPTION OF DRAINAGE SYSTEM FOR DRY SEASON CORN CULTIVATION AT TIDAL LOWLAND AREA**

| No. | Subject of Criteria  | Weight (W) | Score (S) | W x S |
|-----|--|------------|-----------|-------|
| 1.  | Abstract<br>a) 200 words in maximum<br>b) fully explaining manuscript content  | 10         | 5         | 50    |
| 2.  | Introduction<br>a) Explaining the research significancies<br>b) Relevancy to bibliographical coverage<br>c) Up to dated current content                          | 20         | 6         | 120   |
| 3.  | Material and Methods<br>a) Material and methods appropriateness to research purposes and coverage<br>b) sufficentanalysis methods covering the problem discussed | 25         | 6         | 150   |
| 4.  | Result and Discussion<br>a) Clear result explanation and description<br>b) Trusted analytical process and sufficient support of relevant information             | 25         | 6         | 150   |
| 5.  | Conclusion<br>a) Explaining general results of research<br>b) Quantitative expression is recommended   | 10         | 6         | 60    |
| 6.  | References<br>a) Relevancy to the theme script<br>b)Up to date (not more than 10 years)<br>c) 60% references based on primary sources                            | 10         | 6         | 60    |
|     | Total  | 100        |           | 590   |

Note : - grading of citeria score = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 ( 1 = the least, 7 = good)  
- Passing grade of article is  $\geq 500$  with no score of 3 in any subject of criteria

Evaluation result (please choose one of them) :

- No correction and dedicated to be published
- Minor correction/suggestion, and dedicated to be published**
- Improved and completed by author and recorection/rereviewed by peer reviewer
- Suggested to be rejected

....., .....20  
Peer reviewer,

**Please make explicit here the basis of the evaluation comments and final recommendation. Please, try to comment on specific issues that the author(s) can address directly**

1. Bahasa Inggris nya Poor, khususnya pada metodologi dan hasil pembahasan. Mohon menggunakan jasa translasi Inggris untuk perbaikan makalah.
2. Perlu ditambahkan di tinjauan pustaka dan metodologi tentang aspek modeling pergerakan jeluk air tanah khususnya irigasi-drainase system.
3. Tambahkan informasi neraca air lahan /simpanan lengas tanah sehingga pembaca mempunyai gambaran efektifitas penyimpanan/penyerapan air.
4. Tambahkan data curah hujan/iklim untuk menunjang analisis
5. Studi ini lebih banyak pada aspek sipil/operasionalisasi fasilitas drainase sementara pertimbangan rancangan pipa berdasarkan persyaratan kebutuhan tanaman tidak ditampilkan...
6. Perlu studi kedalaman muka air tanah yang optimal untuk pertumbuhan tanaman jagung
7. Pada abstrak dan kesimpulan perlu ditambahkan hasil jagung yang diperoleh dengan menggunakan subsurface drainase serta permasalahan lahan yang masih dijumpai misalnya lahan tidak rata, keragaman air tidak merata dan lainnya
8. cek ulang daftar pustaka agar sesuai dengan yang ada dalam isi makalah

*Thank you for your thoughtful l review of this manuscript.  
The Editors*



# **WATER RETENTION OPTION OF DRAINAGE SYSTEM FOR DRY SEASON CORN CULTIVATION AT TIDAL LOWLAND AREA**

## **ABSTRACT**

Farming constraint at tidal lowland area is water management related to the nature of excessive water during wet season and insufficient water during dry season. The field research objective was related to crop cultivation in the third season. Corn was planted on month of August 2014. Installation of subsurface drainage that previously had function as water discharge was converted into water retention. The research results showed that corn crop had good growth during peak dry season period (October) in which water table was at – 50 cm below soil surface, whereas water table depth was dropped to – 70 cm below soil surface in land without subsurface drainage. This condition implied that installation of subsurface drainage at dry season had function as water retention, not as water discharge. Therefore, network function was inverted from water discharge into water retention. It had impact on the development of optimum water surface that flow in capillary mode to fulfill the crop's water requirement.

**Keywords:** Water retention, tidal lowlands, subsurface drainage, corn

## **INTRODUCTION**

Agricultural activities at tidal lowlands are progressively showing good result. These were indicated by the land productivity in which most of reclaimed tidal lowlands at South Sumatra had two times planting (planting index (PI) of 200). The success key was due to development of water management infrastructures equipped with water control structure at tertiary level for most of the land. In order to increase land productivity into PI of 300, new innovation of water table control technology is needed. According to Imanudin *et al.*, (2011), The objective of this innovation is that farmers still capable to conduct crop cultivation during condition of limited water availability. Therefore it is necessary for the cultivation of maize crops to install the hydraulic appropriate structure which can control water table. Corn is the dry land crops are sensitive to wet conditions.

Water logging or shallow water table was effect to production losses of 20-50% (Kahlowm et al., 2005).

Innovation of water table control was conducted by installing subsurface drainage and had been studied for the last two years (Bakri *et al.*, 2014). Results of application study at tidal lowlands showed that this system was only effective during transition period. Water flooding was excessive during wet season so that open channel system was still needed. This system was capable to lower water table depth during transition period which facilitate corn cultivation. In the dry condition the system was possible to change as water retention. Water retention system in subsurface drainage provides water table condition that is not exceeding the critical depth value for corn crop. According to Lucas (1982 *in* FAO, 1988), corn crop is capable to grow in water table depth condition in the range of 45 cm to 75 cm. Subsurface drainage installation is ideally constructed at depth of 0.6 m below soil surface (Lamm and Troojen, 2005). The recommended spacing between pipe channel for subsurface drainage on clay textural dominated soil was 6 m (Kelly *et al.*, 2012).

According to Ityel.,(2014), that the subsurface drainage and sub irrigation system can improve soil aeration. In this study, installation of underground pipes planted at a depth of 40 cm below the soil surface and the surface coated perforated pipe filter of coconut husk fibers. This condition creates the addition of oxygen content. This system also can control the water table at a depth of 0.7-0.8 m below the ground surface, it has been tried in sandy loam soil texture and effective to increase the uptake of nitrogen and reduce the loss of nutrients due to leaching (Zhoue et al, 2000). Effect of water level control method under subsurface drainage was highly significant decrease in nitrate and phosphate loss. For nitrate loss of N can be reduced up to 44% and for phosphate can be reduced up to 60% annually (William *et al.*, 2015).

Based on the above description, application study of subsurface drainage system is important to be conducted. This paper presented field study results related to operation shifting of subsurface drainage system from water discharge system into water retention system.

## METHODOLOGY

This study was conducted at tidal lowlands area of B typology land in which water can not overflow into farm land so that high tide irrigation is not feasible to be conducted. Implementation of this field study was on third planting period of July to October 2014. Corn was planted at experimental plot having area of 0.25 ha.

Water management network was consisted of tertiary and secondary channels (Figure 1). The existing open channel system was maintained by providing micro channels with inter-channel distance of 8 m. Subsurface pipe installation was buried at channel base with depth of 20 cm from micro channel base so that pipe depth was 50 cm relative to soil surface. The drainage pipe was made from perforated PVC pipe which was capped with coconut fiber on its surface.

For water table control purpose, all pipes were connected by using the fork system in which the end part was connected with collector pipe. The control structure (control box) was constructed in the middle of collector pipe equipped with stop log gate. The function of this stop log gate is to prevent water from flowing out into tertiary channel.

In addition, data of rainfall and channel water surface depth will be processed by using SEW-30 concept (*Surplus Excess Water – 30*). use the figure of 30 cm below the soil surface is because generally crops other than rice will optimum growth conditions in the groundwater below 30 cm. (Kanwar *et al.*, 1998., Imanudin *et al.*, 2010, Tan *et al.*, 2002). This concept is used to

show the condition of soil water excess (cm/day) during crop growing period with the following equation :

$$SEW = 30 = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{30 - x_j}{24}$$

where  $x_j$  is water table surface at the end of respective hours and m (meter) is final total hours during plant growth.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. Physical Characteristics of Soil

Soil capability to distribute water is highly depend on its hydraulic conductivity value. The measurement of soil hydraulic conductivity was conducted directly in land plot by using auger hole method. Results of direct measurement in field showed that soil hydraulic conductivity in general had moderate values (Table 1). The magnitude value of soil hydraulic conductivity is highly affected by soil texture, organic matter content and field condition such as root distribution of plant (Michael *et al.*, 2014). Moderate values of soil hydraulic conductivity are suitable for application of subsurface drainage. This was due to the fact that vertical and horizontal water movement are capable to counter balance water retention capacity from subsurface pipe. On the other hand, if soil hydraulic conductivity is very slow, then flow capacity is also slow below the retention or capacity of subsurface pipe drainage resulting in flooded land condition.

Textural classes at upper layer (Table 2) in the study area were consisted of clay, loamy clay and clay loam. Soil with loam texture is soil transition between sand and clay textures that has

relatively good soil holding capacity and soil nutrients, less sticky and relatively soft as well as has good aeration (Saxton and Rawls, 2006). On the other hand, soil at the second layer soil (Table 3) was dominated by clay texture with magnitude greater than 50%. This soil layer has slow water flow capacity. Therefore the ability of the texture of clay is very low in the water flow, it is because the soil is dominated by micro pores (Alavijeh and Liaghat, 2009).

## **B. Characteristics of Water Management Network**

Water management network at the study area of Mulyasari Village (P17-5S) can be classified into three classes as follows : 1. Macro Channel (Primary Channel and Navigation Channel), 2. Meso Channel (Secondary Channel of SPD and SDU) and 3. Micro Channel (Tertiary Channel, Quarterly Channel and Micro Channel). Each water management network is directly interconnected according to its level sequence, i.e. shallow meso channel result in improper function of micro channel which in turn create disturbance of farming practices.

The existence of macro channel as part of water management network can also be used as transportation means and trading, whereas meso channel without water gate structure can also function similar to macro channel. Micro channel is directly “ contact with” farm land. Condition of each channel can be described as follows :

1. Tertiary Channel : this channel is located at every two paddy field plots (200 m) which connected two secondary channels consisting of Main Drainage Channel (SDU) and Village Irrigation Channel (SPD) in perpendicular position. Condition of tertiary channels nowadays is relatively clean because water weeds and mud sediment has been cleaned and transported into Farm Road. One Secondary Block (256 ha) is consisted of 17 Tertiary Channels.

2. Quarterly Channel : this channel is perpendicular to Tertiary Channel and covers one paddy field plot (100 m). This channel is frequently planted with rice crop and other wetland plants. Condition of this channel is relatively clean.
3. Micro Channel : this channel is located at the center of farm land plot so that during land tillage operation for rice crop by using hand tractor which in turn make this channel level with paddy field soil surface. Prior to planting operation, this channel is rebuild which consisted of 7 units per half of hectare (14 units per hectare). Farmers usually develop this channel in greater numbers for corn cultivation with inter channel distance of 6 to 8 m in order to discharge more water.
4. Subsurface drainage pipe is installed below micro channel with inter channel distance of to 8 m. Pipe was buried at depth of 20 cm from the base of micro channel. Monitoring box (control box) is installed to control water table depth such as shown in Figure 2.

### **C. Corn Cultivation**

The third planting is started in June. The cultivated area was 5,000 m<sup>2</sup> located in tertiary channel (Tc) 5. Duration for corn cultivation was 3 months and soil tillage was done by using hand tractor. Soil tillage was consisted of plowing and harrowing operations which was conducted a week before planting and soil tillage duration was 7 days. The used variety was Pioneer (P27) with magnitude of 3 packs having weight of 5 kg per pack. Plant dressing was conducted when plant was 2 weeks old and it was done only one time. Fertilizers used in this corn cultivation were consisted of Urea, TSP and KCl respectively with doses of 250 kg/ha, 200 kg/ha and 200kg/ha. Fertilizing was done when plant was 14 days and 40 days old, respectively. Fertilizing was done by spreading fertilizers in the vicinity of corn plant.

In addition to fertilizing and weeding, farmers also done crop's maintenance by regulating crop water requirement through operation of tertiary water gate. This activity was conducted by changing water gate position based on function and water requirement, i.e. water gate is located in front position for water discharge operation (drainage) and water gate is located in rear position for water supply into farm land (irrigation).

Pests which attack corn plant are caterpillar and rat. Pest control was conducted by using chemical pesticide with application dose of 1 liter/ ha. Frequency of pest control was to times during corn plant was 26 days and 60 days old, respectively.

Harvesting operation for corn was done when corn plant was 3 months old, i.e. on 29 September 2014. Corn harvesting was conducted by using manual labor with wage of Rp 60,000/ day per labor. Corn was harvested by manual picking followed by threshing operation using corn thresher machine. After threshing operation, corn was put into sack and transported by using motor vehicle and followed by drying operation. Drying operation period was 2 days for bright sun condition and it was 4 days for cloudy condition weather. Harvest yield of corn for land area of 0.5 ha was 3.2 tons and similar to 6,4 ton/ha corn production. While the results of the production of corn grown in dry land intercropping systems with green beans produce an average of 4.5 tons / ha (Sabaruddin et al., 2011). Also higher when compared with the national maize production target of 5 tons / ha. Therefore, by setting the proper water management in the wetland, the corn can produce well, and even higher result than in dry land. But still low when compared with the results of research Sutardjo *et al.*, (2012), Reported that a maximum production of hybrid corn was 7.7 tons / ha . Added by Elmi *et al.*, (2006 ), that the cultivation of corn in wetland to control water level through an underground irrigation system, where the water table maintained at depth of 0.6 m below soil surface capable to produce yield between 8.4 to 8.6 tons / ha .

#### D. Water Status Evaluation as Impact of Water Retention Operation on Subsurface Drainage System.

Field observation results of water table condition (Figure 3) showed that water table depth at location near the channel was the lowest with magnitude of – 66 cm from soil surface and the highest water table depth was – 7 cm from soil surface during dry season. Water table depth far from the channel had the lowest value of – 82 cm from soil surface and the highest value of – 4 cm from soil surface. This condition showed that water table condition was became higher at location near the channel during wet season and was became lower at location far from the channel during dry season . Figure 3 showed clearly that there was increase of water table depth during wet season which indicated the proper function of subsurface drainage system as water retention. Water retention by closing water gate at control box structure results in water infiltration into soil and no water loss into tertiary channel. Surface flow was collected in open channel and subsequently infiltrated into subsurface drainage pipe.

The water movement mechanisms in process of water table filling during rainfall occurrence can be seen in Figure 3 (A). Rainfall water during this condition will infiltrate into soil and fraction of excess water will flow into micro channel. The bottom part of micro channel is equipped with subsurface drainage system so that channel will not be flooded because water is directly infiltrated into subsurface pipe. This condition may provide surface water reserve that will prevent water table drawdown.

During no rainfall period (Figure 3B), high tide water had function solely to fill tertiary channel so that no lateral water movement from land into tertiary channel. Control box was operated in close condition so that available water in land can not flowing out. This condition is capable to maintain the stable water table surface at the depth needed by crops which in turns capable to supply crop water requirement through capillary action.

Water status evaluation using SEW-30 concept for food crops in general is modified by using 10 cm addition resulting in SEW-40 cm. This condition is needed because corn can grow better if water table depth was in the range of 40 to 60 cm (Williamson and van Schilfgaarde, 1965; *in* NDSU *downloaded* 2014). Analysis results showed that water table depth was relatively good (Figure 5) in fulfilling crop water requirement because total excess water was 612 cm. It showed that the role of subsurface drainage system was effective in decreasing water lost in which water was slowly decrease even during very limited rainfall condition. According to Noretto et al., (2009), water table depth has significant effect on capillary water movement which in turn affect water status in the root zone. The capillary water movement is highly affected by soil texture. The ideal depth for sandy soils is located 140 cm below soil surface. Results of study showed that corn production have decreased by magnitude of 0.05 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for every 10 cm increase of water table depth.

The best condition to fulfill crop water requirement through capillary water movement was at water table depth of – 100 cm with water supply from rainfall or limited irrigation (Beltrão *et al.*, 1996). According to Liu and Luo (2011), most of capillary water movement can fulfill crop water requirement at water table depth not greater than 110 cm. At dry condition where water table reach 150 cm, the contribution of water table was 65% of potential evapotranspiration requirement. Effect of shallow water table has been tested on loam soil texture, showing that the effect of the

water table is very significant to achieve the water requirement of plants that reached 60% in the event the need irrigated land (Karimov *et al.*, 2014). Added by Satchithanantham et al (2014), in a fine sandy loam texture, up to 92% of the crop water demand was met by capillary rise from the shallow water table.

## **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

As a conclusion, the key success for crop cultivation at tidal lowlands area is water table control. Control option for dry season is different than that of wet season. The option of water table control at dry condition (Third crop) is directed toward water retention so that water gate is operated in maximum closing. This water retention can also decrease water lost through percolation and may increase water table depth so that subsurface drainage system has function as sub irrigation. The positive effect from the change in operation had impact on fulfilling of crop water requirement at dry season without provision of water pump. Therefore, farmers can conduct three times planting (IP 300).

Further study is needed for the land having different characteristics such as C land typology that has high soil hydraulic conductivity. Application of different spacing between channels at subsurface drainage system might be explored for different soil textures and this system will be applicable if tertiary channel had equipped with water gate.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We want to acknowledge toward Research and Technology Ministry which provide research funding for this study through Incentives National Research Program, Ministry of Research and Technology 2014. Acknowledgement was also delivered to Research Center for Suboptimal Lands of Sriwijaya University that had provided facilities and direction for all research activities so that it can properly implemented.

## REFERENCES

- Alavijeh, B. G, and Liaghat, A.M. 2009. Evaluation of soil texture data for estimating soil water retention curve. *Canadian Journal of soil science* 89(4):461-471.
- Bakri, Imanudin M.S. and Bernas, S. 2014. Proceedings of the National Seminar on Suboptimal Land 2014 Palembang 26-27 September 2014. I SBN : 979-587-529-9.
- Beltrão, J. Antunes Da Silva, A. and Ben Asher, J. 1996. Modeling the effect of capillary water rise in corn yield in Portugal. *Irrigation and Drainage Systems*. May 1996, Volume 10, Issue 2, pp 179-189.
- Elmi, A.A, Gordon, R. Madramootoo, C and Madani, A. 2006. Watertable management for reducing nitrate accumulation in a soil profile under corn production. *Canadian Biosystems Engineering Journal*. Volume 47.
- FAO. 1988. Nature And Management Of Tropical Peat Soils. J. P. Andriessse, *FAO Soils Bulletin* 59. Dowloaded. 2014 [Http://Www.Fao.Org/Docrep/X5872e/X5872e00.Htm#Contents](http://www.fao.org/docrep/X5872e/X5872e00.Htm#Contents).
- Ityel, E. Ben-Gal, A., Silberbush, M., Lazarovitch. N. 2014. Increased root zone oxygen by a capillary barrier is beneficial to bell pepper irrigated with brackish water in an arid region. *Agricultural Water Management*, Volume 131, 1 January 2014, Pages 108-114.
- Imanudin, M.S., Susanto, R.H., Armanto, M.E and Masreah, B. 2011. Developing Seasonal Operation for Water Table Management in Tidal Lowland Reclamations Areas at South Sumatera, Indonesia. *Journal of Tropical Soil*. Vol 16, No 3: September 2011.
- Imanudin, M.S., Susanto, R.H. Armanto, M.E. 2010. Water Status Evaluation on Tertiary Block for Developing Land Use Pattern and Water Management Strategies in Acid Sulfate Soil of Saleh Tidal Lowland Reclamation Areas of South Sumatera (*Journal of Agricultural Science*. Vol 32. No.3 October 2010. ISSN.0126-0537.

- Karimov, A. Kh., Jirka Simunek, Munir A. Hanjrac, Avliyakov, M., Forkutsa, I. 2014. Effects of the shallow water table on water use of winter wheat and ecosystem health: Implications for unlocking the potential of groundwater in the Fergana Valley (Central Asia). *Agricultural Water Management* 131 (2014) 57–69.
- Kelly A. Nelson, K.A and Smoot, LR. 2012. Corn Hybrid Response to Water Management Practices on Claypan Soil. *International Journal of Agronomy* Volume 2012 (2012), Article ID 925408, 10 pages.
- Kanwar, R.S., Baker, J.J, Mukhtar S. 1998. Excessive Soil Water Effects at Various Stages of Development on the Growth and Yield of Corn. Iowa State University Digital Repository @ Iowa State University.
- Liu T, and Luo. Y. 2011. Effects of Shallow Water Tables on the Water Use and Yield of Winter Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under Rainfed Condition. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*. *AJCS* 5(13):1692-1697
- Michael V. Callaghan, M.V, Cey E.E., and Bentley, R. L. 2014. Hydraulic Conductivity Dynamic During Salt leaching of a sodic, structured subsoil. *Soil science society America Journal* Vol. 78 No. 5, p. 1563-1574
- Nosetto, M.D, Jobba G.Y., E.G., Jackson, R.B. and Schnaider, G.A, 2009. Reciprocal Influence of Crops and Shallow Ground Water in Sandy Landscapes of the Inland Pampas. *Field Crops Research* 113 (2009) 138–148.
- Satchithanatham, S., Krahn, V., Ranjan, R., S., Sager. S. 2014. Shallow groundwater uptake and irrigation water redistribution within the potato root zone *Agricultural Water Management*, Volume 132, 31 January 2014, Pages 101-110.
- Sutardjo, Sulastri, Winda, N. 2012. Optimasi Produksi Empat Varietas Jagung Hibrida Di Kertosono, Kabupaten Nganjuk *jurnal Sains dan Teknologi Indonesia* Vol. 14, No. 1, April 2012 Hlm.76-80
- Sabaruddin1, L., Hasid, R., Muhidin, and Anas, A.A. 2011. The Growth, Yield and Land Use Efficiency of Maize and Mungbean Under Intercropping System with Different Watering Intervals. *J. Agron. Indonesia* 39 (3) : 153 - 159 (2011)
- Saxton, K.E. and Rawls. W.J. 2006. Soil Water Characteristic Estimates by Texture and Organic Matter for Hydrologic Solutions. *Soil science society America Journal* Vol. 70 No. 5, p. 1569-1578
- Tan, C.F. Drury, J.D. Gaynor and H.Y.F. Ng. 2013. Effect of Controlled Drainage and Subirrigation on Subsurface Drainage Nitrate Loss And Crop yield at The Farm Scale. *Canadian Water Resources Journal*. Vct.24. No. 3. 1999. Published online: 23 Jan 2013.

- Tan, C.S., Drury C.F., Gaynor, J.D., Welockt, T.E and Reynold, W.D. 2002. Effect of Tillage and water table control on evapotranspiration, surface runoff, tile drainage, and soil water content under maize on a clay loam soil. *Agricultural water management* 54 (2002) 173-188.
- Xiaopeng Li, Scott X. Chang, and K. Francis Salifu. 2013. Soil Texture and Layering Effects on Water and Salt Dynamics in the Presence of a Water Table: a Review. *Environ. Rev.* 21: 1–10 (2013) [dx.doi.org/10.1139/er-2013-0035](https://doi.org/10.1139/er-2013-0035). Published at [www.nrcresearchpress.com/er](http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/er) on 19 August 2013.
- Zhoue, X. Madramootoo, C.A. MacKenzie, A. F., Kaluli, J.W., Smith, D. L. 2000. Corn yield and fertilizer N recovery in Water Table controlled Corn rye-grass systems. *European Journal of Agronomy*, Volume 12, Issue 2, March 2000, Pages 83-92
- Williams, M.R., King, K.W., Fausey. N.R. 2015, Drainage Water management effects on tile discharge and Water quality. *Agricultural Water Management*, Volume 148, 31 January 2015, Pages 43-51

**Table 1. Observation results of soil hydraulic conductivity**


| <b>No.</b> | <b>Point</b> | <b>Hydraulic conductivity (cm/hour)</b> | <b>Criteria</b> |
|------------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| 1          | 1            | 20.88                                   | Moderate        |
| 2          | 2            | 16.7                                    | Moderate        |
| 3          | 3            | 20.5                                    | Moderate        |
| 4          | 4            | 19.6                                    | Moderate        |
| 5          | 5            | 19.87                                   | Moderate        |
| 6          | 6            | 17.25                                   | Moderate        |

Table 2. Soil Textural Classes of Layer 1

| <b>Observation Points</b> | <b>Layer Depth (cm)</b> | <b>Textural Fraction (%)</b> |      |      | <b>Textural Classes</b> |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------|------|-------------------------|
|                           |                         | Sand                         | Loam | Clay |                         |
| T1                        | 0-17                    | 32.4                         | 44   | 23.6 | Loam<br>Clayey loam     |
| T2                        | 0-15                    | 28.4                         | 40   | 31.6 | Loamy clay              |
| T3                        | 0-13                    | 18.4                         | 58   | 23.6 | Loam                    |
| T4                        | 0-9                     | 36.4                         | 40   | 23.6 | Loam                    |
| T5                        | 0-12                    | 40.4                         | 38   | 21.6 | Loam                    |

Table 3. Soil Textural Classes of Layer 2

| <b>Observation Points</b> | <b>Layer Depth (cm)</b> | <b>Textural Fraction (%)</b> |      |      | <b>Textural Classes</b> |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------|------|-------------------------|
|                           |                         | Sand                         | Loam | Clay |                         |
| T1                        | 17-60                   | 16.4                         | 34   | 49.6 | Clay                    |
| T2                        | 15-60                   | 12.4                         | 16   | 51.6 | Clay                    |
| T3                        | 13-60                   | 12.4                         | 36   | 51.6 | Clay                    |
| T4                        | 19.-60                  | 12.4                         | 36   | 51.6 | Clay                    |
| T5                        | 12.-60                  | 14.4                         | 34   | 51.6 | Clay                    |



- ≡≡≡ Subsurface drainage pipe
- ▬ Collector channel
- Control structure (control box) and stoplog gate

Figure 1. Diagram of micro water management system equipped with subsurface drainage pipe in field




Figure 2. Centralized control system using control box




Figure 3. Water table dynamics in corn cultivation at dry season of June-September 2014.




Figure 4. Water movement mechanisms on subsurface drainage system of water retention option : (A), Rainfall water retention and (B). Capillary water utilization (subirrigation).




Figure 5. Water excess analysis at critical limit of 40 cm below soil surface.



# AGRIVITA

JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE

Faculty of Agriculture, University of Brawijaya

II Veteran Phone/Fax : +62-341-575743 E-mail : [agrivita@ub.ac.id](mailto:agrivita@ub.ac.id); [agrivitafaperta@yahoo.com](mailto:agrivitafaperta@yahoo.com)

MALANG – 65145- EAST JAVA – INDONESIA


## COPYRIGHT TRANSFER AGREEMENT AND PUBLISHING ETHICAL STATEMENT

Agrivita Journal of Agricultural Science require a formal written transfer agreement from the author (s) for each article published. We therefore ask you to complete and return this form, retaining a copy for your records. Your cooperation is essential and appreciated. And delay will result in a delay in publication

Article Title : WATER RETENTION OPTION OF DRAINAGE SYSTEM FOR DRY SEASON CORN CULTIVATION AT TIDAL LOWLAND AREA

Author (s) : Bakri, Momon Sodik Imanudin, and Masreah Bernas

1. I/we have read and agree with the terms and conditions of this agreement and I/we hereby confirm the transfer of all copyrights in and relating to the above-named manuscript, in all forms and media, now or hereafter known to Agrivita Journal of Agricultural Science (AJAS) effective from the date stated below. I/we acknowledge that the Agrivita Journal of Agricultural Science are relying on this agreement in publishing the above-named manuscript. However, this agreement will be null and void if the manuscript is not published in the *Agrivita Journal of Agricultural Science*.
2. I/we warrant(s) that the article is original work and has not been published before. I/we warrant(s) that the article contains no libelous or other unlawful statements, and does not infringe on the rights of others. If excerpts from copyrighted works are included, I/we have obtained or will obtain written permission from the copyright owners and will credit the source in the article.

|                                     |   |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Signature of copyright owner(s):    |  |  |
| Name (printed):                     | <u>BAKRI</u>  | <u>Momon Sodik</u>  |
| Title (if employer representative): | <u>MP</u>   | <u>Dr</u>   |
| Company or institution:             | <u>Sriwijaya University</u>   | <u>Sriwijaya Univ</u>   |
| Date:                               | <u>21 April 2015</u>  | <u>21 April 2015</u>  |

If either of the following is applicable, please tick the box:

- author on behalf of all co-authors
- employer representative

*Agrivita Journal of Agricultural Science (AJAS) indexed in:*

Elsevier-Scopus



DOAJ



Google Scholar





# AGRIVITA

JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE  
Faculty of Agriculture, University of Brawijaya  
Jl. Veteran Phone/Fax : +62-341-575743  
E-mail : agrivita@ub.ac.id; agrivita@perta@yahoo.com website: http://www.agrivita.ub.ac.id  
MALANG - 65145 - EAST JAVA - INDONESIA

Malang, 29<sup>th</sup> September 2015

No. : 44 /UN.10.4/Agrivita/2015  
Attachment : 1 (one) file  
Subject : Payment Notification  
  
To : Mr / Mrs Authors  
Agrivita October 2015 edition

Due to the publication of your article in the 37<sup>th</sup> edition of Agrivita Journal, vol.37, October 2015 we expect you to do payment. The invoice is attached together with this letter.

Payment can be done through Bank Negara Indonesia (BNI bank) , Branch of Malang, account name Andik Yudianto, account no. 0254013689 (swift code **BNINIDJA**). After transferring the payment we urge you to send the receipt by fax or email not more than October 2, 2015.

The articles will be published both in electronically and hard copy edition.

Thank you. We look forward to your feedback.

Editor in Chief



Prof. Dr. Ir. Kuswanto, MS.  
NIP. 19630711199803.1.002

*Agrivita Journal of Agricultural Science (AJAS) indexed in:*



Scopus

SJR SCImago  
Journal & Country  
Rank

ProQuest

crossref



INTERNATIONAL  
Scientific Indexing

DOAJ

DIRECTORY OF  
OPEN ACCESS  
JOURNALS



# AGRIVITA

**JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE**  
Faculty of Agriculture, University of Brawijaya  
Jl. Veteran Phone/Fax : +62-341-575743  
E-mail : agrivita@ub.ac.id; agrivita@perta@yahoo.com website: <http://www.agrivita.ub.ac.id>  
**MALANG - 65145 - EAST JAVA - INDONESIA**

Enclosure Letter No.: 44 /UN.10.4/Agrivita/2015

| No | Authors                         | Titles  | Page Number | Payment |
|----|---------------------------------|---|-------------|---------|
| 1  | Fauziah <i>et al.</i>           | EXPLORATIONS DIVERSITY OF DIOSCOREA SPP. VARIETIES FROM PASURUAN, EAST JAVA: INVENTORY AND CHARACTERIZATION   | 11          | 275 USD |
| 2  | Wahyu Fikrinda <i>et al.</i>    | STUDY ON FRUIT QUALITY OF SELECTED SEEDED PUMMELO CULTIVARS AND ITS RELATIONSHIP WITH ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY CONTENT DURING STORAGE PERIOD  | 10          | 250 USD |
| 3  | Marulak Simarmata <i>et al.</i> | SHIFTING WEED COMPOSITIONS AND BIOMASS PRODUCTION IN SWEET CORN FIELD TREATED WITH ORGANIC COMPOSTS AND CHEMICAL WEED CONTROLS  | 11          | 275 USD |
| 4  | Bakri <i>et al.</i>             | WATER RETENTION OPTION OF DRAINAGE SYSTEM FOR DRY SEASON CORN CULTIVATION AT TIDAL LOWLAND AREA   | 10          | 250 USD |
| 5  | Dwi Hapsoro <i>et al.</i>       | GENETIC DIVERSITY AMONG SUGARCANE ( <i>Saccharum officinarum</i> L.) GENOTYPES AS SHOWN BY RANDOMLY AMPLIFIED POLYMORPHIC DNA (RAPD)  | 11          | 275 USD |
| 6  | Denah Suswati <i>et al.</i>     | EFFECT OF COASTAL SEDIMENT TO NUTRIENT AVAILABILITY AND MAIZE PRODUCTIVITY ON ENTISOLS  | 7           | 250 USD |
| 7  | Untung Susanto <i>et al.</i>    | GGE BILOT ANALYSIS FOR GENOTYPE X ENVIRONMENT INTERACTION ON YIELD TRAIT OF HIGH FE CONTENT RICE GENOTYPES IN INDONESIAN IRRIGATED ENVIRONMENTS                                 | 11          | 275 USD |
| 8  | Tri Wahyuni <i>et al.</i>       | POPULATION DYNAMICS OF RHIZOBACTERIA AND ITS POTENCY AS A BIOLOGICAL CONTROL AGENT TO CONTROL FUSARIUM DISEASE IN THE NURSERY OF AGARWOOD ( <i>Aquilaria malaccensis</i> Lamrk) | 9           | 250 USD |

<sup>\*)</sup> Publishing cost 250 USD (maximally 10 pages for each article). There will be extra charges for extra pages 25 USD per page.

*Agrivita Journal of Agricultural Science (AJAS) indexed in:*



Scopus

SJR SCImago Journal & Country Rank

ProQuest

crossref



INTERNATIONAL Scientific Indexing

DOAJ DIRECTORY OF OPEN ACCESS JOURNALS



Tanggal/ Date : 30-9-2015

# Formulir Kiriman Uang

Remittance Application

44121 660143 001010 01 30/09/2015 10:07:50  
Validasi:  
SETOR TUNAI IDR 3.705.000,00  
254013689 Sdr ANDIK YUDIANTO IDR 3.700.000,00  
10360420801001 PENDAPATAN PROPISI KU BBP DALAM NEGERI IDR 5.000,00  
BERITA: JRNL AGRVT AN BAKRI DKK 250 USD, KURS IUSD Rp14800  
10 - PALEMBANG

Penerima/ Beneficiary  Penduduk/ Resident  Bukan Penduduk/ Non Resident

Nama/ Name : Andik Yudianto

Alamat/ Address :

Telepon/ Phone :

Kota/ City : Negara/ Country : Indonesia

Bank Penerima/ Beneficiary Bank : BNI

Kota/ City : Negara/ Country : Indonesia

No. Rek./ Acc. No. : 0254013689

Pengirim/ Remitter  Penduduk/ Resident  Bukan Penduduk/ Non Resident

Nama/ Name : Momon

Nama Alias/ Alias Name :

No. ID : KTP

KTP/SIM/ Passport/ KITAS

Alamat/ Address : UNSRI Palembang

Telepon/ Phone : 08127888277

Kota/ City : Negara/ Country : Indonesia

Tujuan Transaksi (Transaction Purpose) : ... pembayaran

Berita (Message) : ... publikasi jurnal Agri vite

... a.n. Bakri dkk

Biaya dari bank koresponden dibebankan ke rekening/  
Correspondent bank charges are for account of :

Penerima/ Beneficiary  Pengirim/ Remitter  Sharing

Jenis Pengiriman/ Type of Transfer :  LLG/ Clearing  Draft  RTGS  SWIFT

Sumber Dana/ Source of fund :

Tunai/ Cash  Cek/ BG No. ....

Debit Rek./ Debit Acc. No. ....

Mata Uang/ Currency :  IDR  USD

Jumlah Dana yang dikirim/ Amount Transfer : 3.700.000,-

| Jumlah / Amount                       | Kurs/ Rate                        | Nilai/ Total Amount |                     |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                                       |                                   |                     |                     |
|                                       |                                   |                     |                     |
| Biaya/ Charge                         | Valas/ Amount in Foreign Exchange | Kurs/ Amount        | Nilai/ Total Amount |
| Komisi/ Commission                    |                                   |                     | 5000                |
| Pengiriman/ Handling                  |                                   |                     |                     |
| Bank Koresponden / Correspondent Bank |                                   |                     |                     |
| Jumlah Biaya / Amount Charge :        |                                   |                     |                     |
| Total yang dibayarkan/ Total Amount   |                                   |                     | 3.700.000           |

Terbilang/ Amount in Words : Tiga juta tujuh

... ratus ribu

... rupiah



Saya menyetujui sepenuhnya syarat-syarat yang tercantum pada halaman belakang formulir ini / I unconditionally accept all the terms and conditions on the reverse form.

DR. MOMON

Pejabat Bank/ Bank Officer

Teller

Pemohon/ Applicant

Sah jika ada cetakan data komputer atau tanda tangan yang berwenang/ The application form will be valid if there is a computerized validation or the authorized signature.

- Transaksi oleh Walk In Customer (WIC) di atas Rp. 100 juta atau nilai yang setara dengan itu wajib mengisi form PMN (KYC)/ Transaction by Walk In Customer amounting exceeds Rp. 100,000,000. (one hundred million rupiahs ) or equivalent value must fill in the PMN (KYC) Form.

- Transaksi oleh bukan penduduk di atas USD 10.000 atau ekuivalen wajib mengisi form LLD1 / Transactional by non-resident amounting over US \$ 10,000 or its equivalent must fill in the LLD1 Form.

## WATER RETENTION OPTION OF DRAINAGE SYSTEM FOR DRY SEASON CORN CULTIVATION AT TIDAL LOWLAND AREA

Bakri, Momon Sodik Imanudin <sup>\*)</sup> and S. Masreah Bernas

Soil Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Sriwijaya University  
Campus of Unsri-Indralaya KM 32 Sumatera Selatan Indonesia Telp 62-711-580-460

<sup>\*)</sup> Corresponding author Email: momon\_unsri@yahoo.co.id

**Received: January 30, 2015/ Accepted: September 15, 2015**

### ABSTRACT

Farming constraint at tidal lowland area is about water management related to the nature of excessive water during wet season and insufficient water during dry season. This field research objectives was to find out the corn crop cultivation in August 2014 which entered dry season. The installation of subsurface drainage that previously had functioned as water discharge was converted into water retention. The research results showed that corn had grown well during peak dry season period (October) in which water table was at -50 cm below soil surface, whereas water table depth was dropped to -70 cm below soil surface in land without subsurface drainage. This condition implied that installation of subsurface drainage at dry season had function as water retention, not as water discharge. Therefore, network function was inverted from water discharge into water retention. It had impact on the development of optimum water surface that flow in capillary mode to fulfill the crop's water requirement. Corn production obtained was 6.4 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. This condition was very promising though still below the maximum national production. The applications of subsurface drainage was still not optimum due to the supply of water from the main system was not the same because of the soil physical properties diversity and topography differences.

Keywords: corn; subsurface drainage; tidal lowlands; water retention

### INTRODUCTION

Agricultural activities at tidal lowlands are progressively showing good result. It is indicated by the land productivity in which most of reclaimed tidal lowlands at South Sumatra which had

two times planting (planting index (PI) of 200). The key success was the development of water management infrastructures equipped with water control structure at tertiary level for most of the land. In order to increase land productivity into PI of 300, new innovation of water table control technology is needed. According to Imanudin *et al.*, (2011), the objective of this innovation is to make farmers keep cultivating in a limited water condition. Therefore, it is necessary for the cultivation of maize crops to install the hydraulic appropriate structure which able to control water table. Corn is a dry land crop which is sensitive to wet condition. Water logging or shallow water table effected the production losses of 20-50%. (Kahlow *et al.*, 1998). However, for the maximum production, a medium maturity grain requires between 500 and 800 mm of water. It depends on climatic condition (FAO, 2015).

The water table control innovation was conducted by installing subsurface drainage and had been studied for the last two years (Bakri *et al.*, 2014). The results of applied study on tidal lowlands showed that this system was only effective during transition period. Water flooding was excessive during wet season so that open channel system was still needed. This system was capable to lower water table depth during transition period which facilitate corn cultivation. In the dry condition the system was possible to transform into water retention. Water retention system in subsurface drainage provides water table condition that is not exceeding the critical depth value for corn crop (Imanudin *et al.*, 2011).

Subsurface drainage installation was ideally constructed at depth of 0.6 m below soil surface (Lamm and Troien, 2005). The recommended spacing between pipe channels for subsurface drainage on clay textural dominated soil was 6 m (Nelson and Smoot, 2012). For tidal lowland agriculture, it should use the concept of

**Accredited SK No.: 81/DIKTI/Kep/2011**

**<http://dx.doi.org/10.17503/Agrivita-2015-37-3-p237-246>**

intensive shallow drainage which was able to maintain the water level in the soil under roots zone and prevent the oxidation (Imanudin and Armanto, 2012). The drain spacing between 8 m channel, and 20 cm depth was successfully tested in the peat land reclamation area (Imanudin and Susanto, 2015).

According to Ityel *et al.*, (2014), that the subsurface drainage and sub irrigation system can improve soil aeration. In this study, installation of underground pipes planted at a depth of 40 cm below the soil surface and the surface coated the perforated pipe filter of coconut husk fibers. This condition creates the addition of oxygen content. This system can also control the water table at a depth of 0.7-0.8 m below the ground surface. It has been tried in sandy loam soil texture and effective to increase the uptake of nitrogen and reduce the loss of nutrients due to leaching (Zhou *et al.*, 2000). The effect of water level control method under subsurface drainage was highly significant to decrease nitrate and phosphate loss. For nitrate loss of N can be reduced up to 44% and for phosphate can be reduced up to 60% annually (Williams *et al.*, 2015).

Based on the above description, the application of this study on subsurface drainage

system is important to be conducted. This paper presented field study results related to the operation of subsurface drainage shifting system from water discharge system into water retention system.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was conducted at tidal lowlands area of B typology land in which water can not overflow into farm land so that high tide irrigation is not feasible to be conducted. The implementation of this field study was on the third planting period of July to October 2014. The corn seed was planted at experimental plot having area of 0.25 ha.

Water management network was consisted of tertiary and secondary channels (Figure 1). The existing open channel system was maintained by providing micro channels with inter-channel distance of 8 m. Subsurface pipe installation was buried at channel base so that pipe depth was 50 cm relative to soil surface (Bakri *et al.*, 2014). The drainage pipe was made from perforated PVC pipe which was capped with coconut fiber on its surface (Imanudin and Bakri, 2014).




Figure 1. Diagram of micro water management system equipped with subsurface drainage pipe in field

For water table control purpose, all pipes were connected by using the fork system in which the end part was connected to collector pipe. The control structure (control box) was constructed in the middle of collector pipe equipped with stop log gate. The function of this stop log gate was to prevent water from flowing out into tertiary channel.

In addition, the data of rainfall and channel water surface depth was processed by using SEW-30 concept (*Surplus Excess Water – 30*). It used the figure of 30 cm below the soil surface because generally crops other than rice will grow optimum in the groundwater below 30 cm. (Kanwar *et al.*, 1988., Tan *et al.*, 1999; Tan *et al.*, 2002). This concept was used to show the condition of soil water excess (cm day<sup>-1</sup>) during crop growing period with the following equation:

$$SEW = 30 = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{30 - x_j}{24}$$

where  $x_j$  is water table surface at the end of respective hours and m (meter) is final total hours during plant growth.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Physical Characteristics of Soil**

Soil capability to distribute water is highly depend on its hydraulic conductivity value. The measurement of soil hydraulic conductivity was conducted directly in land plot by using auger hole method. The results of direct measurement in field showed that soil hydraulic conductivity in general had moderate values (Table 1). The magnitude value of soil hydraulic conductivity is highly affected by soil texture, organic matter content and field condition such as root distribution of plant (Callaghan *et al.*, 2014). Moderate values of soil hydraulic conductivity are suitable for application of subsurface drainage. This was due to the fact that vertical and horizontal water movements are capable to counter balance water retention capacity from subsurface pipe. On the other hand, if soil hydraulic conductivity is very slow, then the flow capacity is also slow below the retention or capacity of subsurface pipe drainage which resulting in flooded land condition.

Textural classes at upper layer (Table 2) in the study area were consisted of clay, loamy

clay and loam clay. Soil with loam texture is a transition between sand and clay textures that has relatively good soil holding capacity and soil nutrients, less sticky and relatively soft as well as has good aeration (Saxton and Rawls, 2006). On the other hand, soil at the second layer soil (Table 3) is dominated by clay texture with magnitude greater than 50%. This soil layer has slow water flow capacity. Therefore the ability of the texture of clay is very low in the water flow, it is because the soil is dominated by micro pores (Alavijeh and Liaghat, 2009).

Table 1. Observation results of soil hydraulic conductivity

| No. | Point | Hydraulic conductivity (cm hour <sup>-1</sup> ) | Criteria |
|-----|-------|---|----------|
| 1   | 1     | 20.88   | Moderate |
| 2   | 2     | 16.7  | Moderate |
| 3   | 3     | 20.5  | Moderate |
| 4   | 4     | 19.6  | Moderate |
| 5   | 5     | 19.87   | Moderate |
| 6   | 6     | 17.25   | Moderate |

**Climatic and Hydrological Condition**

Climatic conditions according to the Oldeman classification is classified as agro-climatic zone C1. The average monthly temperature is 27.5<sup>o</sup> C, the lowest temperature is 26<sup>o</sup> C in January and the highest temperature is 28.7<sup>o</sup> C in October, and the average relative humidity is 80%. The annual rainfall in 2014 amounted to 2,553 mm with characteristics of tropical cli-mates where hot and humid conditions occur throughout the year. In 5-6 months in a row the rainfall was more than 200 mm per month, the number of rainy days were ranging between 15-22 days per month and 1-2 months of drought with rainfall of less than 100 mm per month.

Land with type B classification will only have potential tide irrigation in the rainy season. Groundwater table depth is 10-20 cm below the soil surface during the rainy season and the dry season is down to a depth of 100 cm. Hence it is important to control ground water table in the dry season so that the ground water level can be maintained at a depth of 40-50 cm below the soil surface.

Table 2. Soil textural classes of layer 1

| Observation Points | Layer Depth (cm) | Textural Fraction (%) |      |      | Textural Classes |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------|------|------------------|
|                    |                  | Sand                  | Loam | Clay |                  |
| T1                 | 0-17             | 32.4                  | 44   | 23.6 | Clayey loam      |
| T2                 | 0-15             | 28.4                  | 40   | 31.6 | Loamy clay       |
| T3                 | 0-13             | 18.4                  | 58   | 23.6 | Loam             |
| T4                 | 0-9              | 36.4                  | 40   | 23.6 | Loam             |
| T5                 | 0-12             | 40.4                  | 38   | 21.6 | Loam             |

Table 3. Soil textural classes of layer 2

| Observation Points | Layer Depth (cm) | Textural Fraction (%) |      |      | Textural Classes |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------|------|------------------|
|                    |                  | Sand                  | Loam | Clay |                  |
| T1                 | 17-60            | 16.4                  | 34   | 49.6 | Clay             |
| T2                 | 15-60            | 12.4                  | 16   | 51.6 | Clay             |
| T3                 | 13-60            | 12.4                  | 36   | 51.6 | Clay             |
| T4                 | 19.-60           | 12.4                  | 36   | 51.6 | Clay             |
| T5                 | 12.-60           | 14.4                  | 34   | 51.6 | Clay             |




Figure 2. Centralized control system using control box

### Characteristics of Water Management Network

Water management network at the study area of Mulyasari Village (P17-5S) could be classified into three classes as follows: (1) Macro Channel (Primary Channel and Navigation Channel), (2) Meso Channel (Secondary Channel of SPD and SDU) and (3) Micro Channel (Tertiary Channel, Quarterly Channel and Micro Channel). Each water management network was directly interconnected based on its level sequence, i.e. shallow meso channel result in improper function of micro channel which in turn create disturbance of farming practices.

The existence of macro channel as part of water management network can also be used as transportation means and trading, whereas meso channel without water gate structure can

also function similar to macro channel. Micro channel was directly "contact with" farm land. Condition of each channel can be described as follows:

1. Tertiary Channel: this channel is located at every two paddy field plots (200 m) which connected to secondary channels consisting of Main Drainage Channel (SDU) and Village Irrigation Channel (SPD) in perpendicular position. The condition of tertiary channels nowadays is relatively clean because water weeds and mud sediment has been cleaned and transported into Farm Road. One Secondary Block (256 ha) is consisted of 17 Tertiary Channels.
2. Quarterly Channel: this channel is perpendicular to Tertiary Channel and

Bakri *et al.*: Water Retention Option of Drainage System for Dry Season .....

covers one paddy field plot (100 m). This channel is frequently planted with rice crop and other wetland plants. The condition of this channel is relatively clean.

3. Micro Channel: this channel is located at the center of farm land plot so that during land tillage operation for rice crop by using hand tractor which in turn make this channel level with paddy field soil surface. Prior to planting operation, this channel was rebuilt so it consisted of 7 units per half of hectare (14 units per hectare). Farmers usually develop this channel in greater numbers for corn cultivation with inter-channel distance of 6 to 8 m in order to discharge more water.
4. Subsurface drainage pipe is installed below micro channel with inter channel distance of to 8 m. Pipe was buried at depth of 20 cm from the base of micro channel. Monitoring box (control box) is installed to control water table depth such as shown in Figure 2.

### Corn Cultivation

The third planting was started in June. The cultivated area was 5,000 m<sup>2</sup> located in tertiary channel (Tc) 5. Duration for corn cultivation was 3 months and the soil tillage was done by using hand tractor. Soil tillage consisted of plowing and harrowing operations which was conducted a week before planting and soil tillage duration was 7 days. The used variety was Pioneer (P27) with magnitude of 3 packs having weight of 5 kg per pack. Plant dressing was conducted when plant was 2 weeks old and it was done only one time. Fertilizers used in this corn cultivation were consisted of Urea, TSP and KCl respectively with doses of 250 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Fertilizing would be done when the plant was 14 days and 40 days old, respectively. Fertilizing was done by spreading fertilizers in the vicinity of corn plant.

In addition to fertilizing and weeding, farmers also did crop's maintenance by regulating crop water requirement through operation of tertiary water gate. This activity was conducted by changing water gate position based on its function and water requirement, i.e. water gate was located at front position for water discharge operation (drainage) and water gate is located at rear position for water supply into farm land (irrigation).

Normally, pests which attack corn plant are caterpillar and rat. Pest control was

conducted by using chemical pesticide with application dose of 1 l ha<sup>-1</sup>. The frequency of pest control was two times when corn plant was 26 days and 60 days old, respectively.

Harvesting operation for corn was conducted when corn plant was 3 months old, i.e. on 29 September 2014. Corn harvesting used manual labor with wage of Rp 60,000 per day per labor. Corn was harvested by manual picking and followed by threshing operation using corn thresher machine. After the threshing operation, corns were put into sack and transported by motor vehicle for the next step drying operation. Drying operation period was 2 days in bright sun condition and 4 days for cloudy condition. Harvest yield of corn for land area of 0.5 ha was 3.2 tons and similar to 6.4 t ha<sup>-1</sup> corn production. While the results of the production of corn grown in dry land intercropping systems with green beans produce an average of 4.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (Sabaruddin *et al.*, 2011). It was also higher compared to the national maize production target of 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Therefore, by setting the proper water management in the wetland, the production would go well, and even higher than in dry land. However, it was still low compared to the results of Sutardjo *et al.*, (2011) research which reported a maximum production of hybrid corn was 7.7 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Elmi *et al.*, (2005) also found that the cultivation of corn in wetland with the control of water level through an underground irrigation system, where the water table maintained at depth of 0.6 m below soil surface was capable to produce yield between 8.4 to 8.6 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. According to Antonelli *et al.* (2015), the sun flower showed that the plants are able to absorb water up to a depth of 2 m but this condition depends on the plant roots. In dry condition corn can grow normal when the depth of the roots could reach more even though the ground water table is at a depth 1.2 m below soil surface (Imanudin *et al.*, 2010).

### Water Status Evaluation as Impact of Water Retention Operation on Subsurface Drainage System

Field observation results of water table condition (Figure 3) showed that water table depth at location near the channel was the lowest with magnitude of -66 cm from soil surface and the highest water table depth was -7 cm from soil surface during dry season. Water table depth far from the channel had the lowest value

of -82 cm from soil surface and the highest value of -4 cm from soil surface. This condition showed that water table condition became higher at a location near the channel during wet season and lower at a location far from the channel during dry season. Figure 3 showed clearly that there was an increase of water table depth during wet season which indicated the proper function of subsurface drain-age system as water retention. Water retention by closing water gate at control box structure resulted in water infiltration into soil and there was no water loss into tertiary channel. Surface flow was collected in open channel and subsequently infiltrated into subsurface drainage pipe.

The water movement mechanisms in the process of water table filling during rainfall can be seen in Figure 4 (A). The rainfall water in this condition would infiltrate into soil and the excess water would flow into micro channel. The bottom part of micro channel was equipped with subsurface drainage system so that the channel would not be flooded by the water which infiltrated into subsurface pipe. This condition may provide surface water reserve that would prevent the water table declined.

During dry period (Figure 4B), high tide water had function solely to fill tertiary channel so that no lateral water movement from land into the tertiary channel. A control box was operated

in closed condition so that the available water in land could not flowing out. This condition was able to maintain the stable water table surface at the depth needed by crops which in turns was capable to supply crop water requirement through capillary action.

Water status evaluation with SEW-30 concept for food crops in general is modified by using 10 cm addition resulting in SEW-40 cm. This condition is needed because corn can grow better if water table depth was in the range of 40 to 60 cm (Williamson and van Schilfgaarde, 1965). Analysis results showed that water table depth was relatively good (Figure 5) in fulfilling crop water requirement because total excess water was 612 cm. It showed that the role of subsurface drainage system was effective in decreasing water lost in which water was slowly decrease even during very limited rainfall condition. According to Nosetto *et al.* (2009), water table depth has significant effect on capillary water movement which affects water status in the root zone. The capillary water movement is highly affected by soil texture (Imanudin *et al.*, 2010). The ideal depth for sandy soils is located 140 cm below soil surface. The results study showed that corn production had decreased by magnitude of 0.05 kg per m<sup>2</sup> for every 10 cm increase of water table depth.




Figure 3. Water table dynamics in corn cultivation at dry season of June-September 2014




Figure 4. Water movement mechanisms on subsurface drainage system of water retention option: (A) Rainfall water retention and (B) Capillary water utilization (subirrigation)




Figure 5. Water excess analysis at critical limit of 40 cm below soil surface

The best condition to fulfill crop water requirement through capillary water movement was at water table depth of –100 cm with water supply from rainfall or limited irrigation (Beltrão *et al.*, 1996). According to Liu and Luo (2011), most of capillary water movement can fulfill crop water requirement at water table depth not greater than 110 cm. At dry condition where water table reach 150 cm, the contribution of water table was 65% of potential evapotranspiration requirement. The effect of shallow water table has been tested on loam soil texture, showing that the effect of the water table was very significant to achieve the water requirement of plants that reached 60% in the event the need irrigated land (Karimov *et al.*, 2014). Added by Satchithanatham *et al.* (2014), in a fine sandy loam texture, up to 92% of the crop water demand was met by capillary rise from the shallow water table.

#### CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

As a conclusion, the key success for crop cultivation at tidal lowlands area is water table control. The control option for dry season is different than that of wet season. The option of water table control at dry condition (Third crop) is directed toward water retention so that the water gate is operated in maximum closing. This water retention can also decrease water lost through percolation and may increase water table depth so that subsurface drainage system has function as sub irrigation. The positive effect from the change in operation had impact on fulfilling the crop water requirement in dry season without provision of water pump. Farmers can conduct three times planting (Index Cropping System 300%) with potential cropping pattern is rice-corn-corn.

Further study is needed for the land having different characteristics such as C land typology that has high soil hydraulic conductivity. The application of different spacing between channels at subsurface drainage system might be explored for different soil textures and this system will be applicable if tertiary channel is equipped with water gate.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors want to acknowledge toward Research and Technology Ministry which provide

research funding for this study through Incentives National Research Program, Ministry of Research and Technology 2014. Acknowledgement was also delivered to Research Center for Suboptimal Lands of Sriwijaya University that had provided facilities and direction for all research activities so that it can properly implemented.

#### REFERENCES

- Alavijeh, B.G. and A.M. Liaghat. 2009. Evaluation of soil texture data for estimating soil water retention curve. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 89 (4): 461-471. doi: 10.4141/cjss08066
- Antonelli, J., C.A. Lindino, R.F. Santos, S. Nelson, M. deSouza, W.C. Nadaletti, P. Cremonez and E. Rossi. 2015. Sunflower culture response under different water table depths. *J. Food Agric. Environ.* 13 (2): 259-261.
- Bakri, M.S. Imanudin and S. Masreah. 2014. The study of subsurface drainage for corn cultivation on tidal lowland Telang II South Sumatera. *Proceedings of the National Seminar on Suboptimal Land 2014. Palembang. September 26-27, 2014. p. 1-9.*
- Beltrao, J., A.A. Da Silva and J.B. Asher. 1996. Modeling the effect of capillary water rise in corn yield in Portugal. *Irrig. Drain.* 10(2): 179-189. doi: 10.1007/BF01103700
- Callaghan, M.V, E.E. Cey and L.R. Bentley. 2014. Hydraulic conductivity dynamics during salt leaching of a sodic, structured subsoil. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 78 (5): 1563-1574. doi: 10.2136/sssaj2014.03. 0106
- Elmi, A., R. Gordon, C. Madramootoo and A. Madani. 2005. Water table management practice for reducing nitrate accumulation in the soil profile under corn production. *Can. Biosystems Engineering.* 47: 23-28.
- FAO. 2015. Crop water information: Maize. Land and Water Division. FAO Water Development and Management Unit. [http://www.fao.org/nr/water/cropinfo\\_maize.html](http://www.fao.org/nr/water/cropinfo_maize.html)
- Imanudin, M.S., M.E. Armanto, R.H. Susanto and S.T. Bernas. 2010. Water status evaluation on tertiary block for developing land use pattern and water management strategies in acid sulfat soil of saleh tidal lowland reclamation areas of South Sumatera. *Agrivita* 32 (3): 241-253.

Bakri et al.: Water Retention Option of Drainage System for Dry Season .....

- Imanudin, M.S., M.E. Armanto and R.H. Susanto. 2011. Developing seasonal operation for water table management in tidal lowland reclamations areas at South Sumatera, Indonesia. *J. Trop. Soil* 16 (3): 233-244. doi: 10.5400/jts.2011.16.3.233
- Imanudin, M.S. and M.E. Armanto. 2012. Effect of water management improvement on soil nutrient content, iron and aluminum solubility at tidal low land area. *APCBEE Procedia* 4: 253-258. doi: 10.1016/j.apcbee.2012.11.043
- Imanudin, M.S. and Bakri. 2014. The study of corn cultivation under rainy season in tidal lowland reclamation to support index cropping system 300% (in Indonesian). *Proceeding National Seminar INACID Palembang, South Sumatera*. May 16-17, 2014. p. 141-151.
- Imanudin, M.S and R.H. Susanto. 2015. Intensive agriculture of peat land areas to reduce carbon emission and fire prevention (A case study in Tanjung Jabung Timur tidal lowland reclamation Jambi). *Proceeding The 1st Young Scientist International Conference of Water Resources Development and Environmental Protection*. Malang, Indonesia. June 5-7, 2015.
- Ityel, E., A. Ben-Gal, M. Silberbush and N. Lazarovitch. 2014. Increased root zone oxygen by a capillary barrier is beneficial to bell pepper irrigated with brackish water in an arid region. *Agr. Water Manage.* 131: 108-114. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2013.09.018
- Kahlowan, M.A., M. Iqbal, G.V. Skogerboe and S. ur Rehman. 1998. Waterlogging, salinity and crop yield relationships. *Fordwah Eastern Sadiqia (South) Irrigation and Drainage Project*. Mona Reclamation Experimental Project: Wapda. International Irrigation Management Institute: Pakistan. Pakistan National Program XIII. IWMI Pakistan Report R-073/IIMI Pakistan Report R-073/MREP Report 233. pp. 99. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3910/2009.509>
- Kanwar, R.S., J.L. Baker and S. Mukhtar. 1988. Excessive soil water effects at various stages of development on the growth and yield of corn. *Am. Soc. Agric. Eng.* 31 (1): 133-141.
- Karimov, A.K., J. Simunek, M.A. Hanjra, M. Avliyakov and I. Forkutsa. 2014. Effects of the shallow water table on water use of winter wheat and ecosystem health: Implications for unlocking the potential of groundwater in the Fergana Valley (Central Asia). *Agr. Water Manage.* 131: 57-69. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2013.09.010
- Lamm, F.R. and T.P. Trooien. 2005. Dripline depth effects on corn production when crop establishment is nonlimiting. *Appl. Eng. Agric.* 21 (5): 835-840.
- Liu, T. and Y. Luo. 2011. Effects of shallow water tables on the water use and yield of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under rain-fed condition. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* 5 (13): 1692-1697.
- Nelson, K.A. and R.L. Smoot. 2012. Corn hybrid response to water management practices on claypan soil. *Int. J. Agron.* p. 1-10. doi: 10.1155/2012/925408
- Nosetto, M.D., E.G. Jobbagy, R.B. Jackson and G.A. Sznaider. 2009. Reciprocal influence of crops and shallow ground water in sandy landscapes of the Inland Pampas. *Field Crop Res.* 113: 138-148. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2009.04.016
- Sabaruddin, L., R. Hasid, Muhidin and A.A. Anas. 2011. The growth, yield and land use efficiency of maize and mungbean under intercropping system with different watering intervals (in Indonesian). *J. Agron. Indonesia* 39 (3): 153-159.
- Satchithanatham, S., V. Krahn, R.S. Ranjan and S. Sager. 2014. Shallow groundwater uptake and irrigation water redis-tribution within the potato root zone. *Agr. Water Manage.* 132: 101-110. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2013.10.011
- Saxton, K.E. and W.J. Rawls. 2006. Soil water characteristic estimates by texture and organic matter for hydrologic solutions. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 70 (5): 1569-1578. doi: 10.2136/sssaj2005.0117
- Sutardjo, Sulastri and W. Nawfetrias. 2011. Production optimization of four hybrid corn varieties in Kertosono, Nganjuk (in Indonesian). *J. Sains and Technol. Indonesia* 14 (1): 76-80.
- Tan, C.S., C.F. Drury, J.D. Gaynor, T.W. Welacky and W.D. Reynolds. 2002. Effect of tillage and water table control on evapo-

Bakri *et al.*: *Water Retention Option of Drainage System for Dry Season* .....

- transpiration, surface runoff, tile drainage and soil water content under maize on a clay loam soil. *Agr. Water Manage.* 54 (3): 173-188. doi: 10.1016/S0378-3774(01)00178-0
- Tan, C.S., C.F. Drury, J.D. Gaynor and H.Y.F. Ng. 1999. Effect of controlled drainage and sub-irrigation on subsurface tile drainage nitrate loss and crop yield at the farm scale. *Can. Water Resour. J.* 24 (3): 177-186. doi: 10.4296/cwrj2403177
- Williams, M.R., K.W. King and N.R. Fausey. 2015. Drainage water management effects on tile discharge and water quality. *Agr. Water Manage.* 148: 43-51. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2014.09.017
- Williamson, R.E. and J. van Schilfgaarde. 1965. Studies of crop response to drainage: II. Lysimeters. *Trans. ASAE.* 8 (1): 98-100, 102.
- Zhou, X., C.A. Madramootoo, A.F. MacKenzie, J.W. Kaluli and D.L. Smith. 2000. Corn yield and fertilizer N recovery in water-table-controlled corn-rye-grass systems. *Eur. J. Agron.* 12 (2): 83-92. doi: 10.1016/S1161-0301(99)00048-9